# **CEMENT AND CONCRETE REFERENCE LABORATORY PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM**

## **Final Report Concrete Masonry Unit Proficiency Samples** Number 33 and Number 34

September 2012





September 19, 2012

## TO: Participants in the CCRL Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Sample Program

#### SUBJECT: Final Report for Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 33 and No. 34

Following is the report for the current pair of CCRL **Concrete Masonry Units** Proficiency Samples which were distributed in July 2012.

This report consists of a statistical Summary of Results, a set of general Scatter Diagrams, and associated detailed information. The Table of Results with individualized information for laboratory can be downloaded at our website located at: <u>http://www.ccrl.us/</u>.

The CCRL Proficiency Sample Programs are intended for internal use by the laboratory as a tool to identify potential problems in laboratory procedures or test equipment and to initiate remedial actions. These programs are designed to complement the CCRL Laboratory Inspection Program as part of a total quality system. Care should be taken when using this program for any other purpose.

Additional samples of these two concrete masonry units and other CCRL samples are available for purchase. These samples may be useful for equipment verification, technician training, and research. Contact CCRL for availability and price.

It is presently anticipated that the next Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples will be distributed in July 2013.

Sincerely,

Polin K. Haust

Robin K. Haupt Supervisor, Proficiency Sample Programs Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

4441 Buckeystown Pike, Suite C ♦ Frederick, Maryland 21704 phone: 240-436-4800 ♦ fax: 610-834-7066 ♦ email: ccrl@astm.org

#### To: Participants in the CCRL Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Sample Program

#### FROM: Robin K. Haupt, Supervisor, PSP

## SUBJECT: Explanation of Final Report on Results of Tests on Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 33 and No. 34

This letter and the material included with it constitute the final report and summary of results for the current pair of Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples, which were distributed in July 2012. This material includes a Table of Results for Individual laboratory data, a statistical Summary of Results, and a set of general scatter diagrams. Your unique laboratory number is displayed at the top of the Individual Table of Results.

An explanation of the program is contained in the paper: "Statistical Evaluation of Interlaboratory Cement Tests" by J. R. Crandall and R. L. Blaine <u>View Document</u>, and "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program" by W.J. Youden <u>View Document</u>, which can be found in Volume 59, Proceedings of the 62<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

### **Laboratory Ratings**

Each laboratory receives an individualized Laboratory Ratings. Each line of the ratings shows the test title and the reporting unit in the first two columns. After that it lists in order, the laboratory's results for the odd and even numbered samples, overall averages for the odd and even numbered samples, and the laboratory's ratings for the odd and even samples. Please note that individual laboratory ratings were not given for some test results. These results were gathered for information at the request of consulting ASTM Committee member.

The ratings for the individual laboratory were determined in the manner described by Crandall and Blaine using a rating scale of 1 to 5 instead of 0 to 4. The ratings have no valid standing beyond showing the difference between the individual laboratory result and the average for a particular test.

The following table details the relationship between the ratings and the averages.

Ratings	Range (Number of Standard Deviations)	Number (Per 100) of Laboratories achieving the rating <sup>1</sup>
5	Less than 1	69
4	1 to 1.5	18
3	1.5 to 2	9
2	2 to 2.5	3
1	Greater than 2.5	1

The sign of the rating merely shows whether the result reported was greater or less than the average obtained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Youden, W.J., "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program", *Proceedings of the American Society for testing and Materials Volume 59*, 1959.

In cases where some laboratories' results are eliminated, averages, standard deviations, coefficients of variation, and the ratings of the other laboratories' results, are recalculated using the data remaining after the elimination. Since the laboratory ratings given are the results from this one series of tests, you need not attach too much significance to a single low rating, or pair of ratings, from this one series. A continuing tendency to get low ratings on several pairs of samples should lead a laboratory to consider the types of error, systematic and random, contribute to ratings that are low. Systematic error, which is indicated by low ratings with the same signs on each pair of samples, means a consistent error is occurring in equipment and/or test procedures. One indication of random error is low ratings on both samples with different signs.. Since systematic error occurs with more regularity, its cause is generally easier to find than the cause of random error.

#### **Summary of Results**

The Summary of Results provide the statistical summary for each test. Each line lists the test, the number of participants represented, the averages, standard deviations and coefficients of variations. When necessary the data from the test is represented in two lines, one line with all results reported, and then a second line with outlying results omitted. Sometimes two or more recalculations are required to eliminate all outliers from the test. In these cases, all of the laboratories omitted in previous recalculations are also omitted in subsequent ones. Results omitted are values that are more than three standard deviations from the mean of one or both samples. Elimination of these outlying results may little effect on the average, but may have a more pronounced effect on the standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

#### Scatter Diagrams

General scatter diagrams are supplied with this report. Crandall and Blaine describe the manner of preparing scatter diagrams, and their interpretation, in the paper published in the 1959 ASTM Proceedings.

Using the results received from each laboratory, a scatter diagram is generated for each test method by plotting the value for the odd numbered samples on the X, or horizontal axis, against the value for the even numbered samples on the Y, or vertical axis. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines, which divide the diagrams into four sections or quadrants, place the average values for the odd and even numbered samples, respectively. The first line of print under the diagram includes the test number, as given on the data sheet, the test title, and the number of data points on the diagrams. The number of plotted points may not agree with the total number of data pairs included in the analysis because a few points may be off the diagram, and some points may represent several data pairs, which are identical. Laboratories whose points are off the diagram will have a rating of  $\pm 1$  for that particular test.

As described in Crandall and Blaine, a tight circular pattern of points around the intersection of the median lines is the ideal situation. Stretching out of the pattern into the first (upper right) and third (lower left) quadrants, suggests some kind of bias, or tendency for laboratories to get high or low results on both samples. Examination of the scatter diagrams indicates strong evidence of bias on many tests.

## CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 33 and No. 34

## Final Report – September 14, 2012

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		Sa	mple No.3	33	Sa	34	
Test (unit)	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
Received Weig	ght - Compres	ssion Units (Ib)	1				
	196	9.6	0.13	1.40	10.9	0.16	1.47
	*192	9.6	0.13	1.36	10.9	0.10	0.91
* Labs	Eliminated - 1	148, 565, 2000,	2079				
aximum Con	npressive Loa	ad (lbf)					
	196	49373	7872	15.9	43710	6534	14.9
	*189	50211	6644	13.2	44296	5844	13.2
* Labs	Eliminated - 4	454, 1435, 1442	2, 1515, 23	98, 2683, 368 <sup>,</sup>	4		
et Area Com	pressive Stre	ngth (psi)					
	196	2467	421	17.1	2248	387	17.2
No La	bs Eliminated	for This Test					
ceived Weig	ght - Absorpti	on Units (Ib)					
	194	9.6	0.14	1.50	10.8	0.12	1.15
	*193	9.6	0.14	1.49	10.8	0.12	1.10
* Labs	Eliminated - 4	474					
dth (inch)							
	194	3.6	0.04	1.2	3.6	0.05	1.4
No La	bs Eliminated	for This Test					
eight (inch)							
	194	7.6	0.04	0.58	7.6	0.03	0.34
	*181	7.6	0.04	0.56	7.6	0.00	0.00
* Labs	Eliminated - 2	28, 143, 552, 78	88, 1120, 1	360, 1444, 20	04, 2019, 2109,	2124, 2935	5, 2960
ngth (inch)							
. ,	194	7.6	0.02	0.25	7.6	0.04	0.54
	*187	7.6	0.00	0.00	7.6	0.04	0.51
* Labs	Eliminated - 1	1120, 1200, 127	<b>'</b> 9, 1515, 1	534, 1982, 224	40		

## CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 33 and No. 34

## Final Report – September 14, 2012

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		Sample No.33			Sample No. 34		
Test (unit)	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
/linimum Face	Shell Thickn	ess (inch)					
	194	1.05	0.06	5.8	1.05	0.06	6.0
	*178	1.04	0.03	2.8	1.04	0.03	2.9
* Labs 3680	Eliminated - S	9, 360, 634, 753			2, 1572, 2078, 2		2272, 2398, 3219,
/linimum Web	Thickness (i	nch)					
	190	1.0	0.10	10.0	1.0	0.12	11.5
	*187	1.0	0.07	6.4	1.0	0.07	6.7
* Labs	Eliminated - 4	40, 2438, 3680					
mmersed Wei	ght (lb)						
	193	4.9	0.10	2.0	6.3	0.13	2.1
	*189	4.9	0.10	1.9	6.3	0.10	1.6
* Labs	Eliminated - 1	148, 474, 475, <i>*</i>	1638				
Saturated Wei	ght (lb)						
	194	10.4	0.12	1.17	11.6	0.16	1.43
	*187	10.4	0.10	0.94	11.6	0.12	1.02
* Labs	Eliminated - 4	474, 552, 788, <i>*</i>	1778, 2069,	, 2115, 2683			
Oven-Dry Weig	ght (lb)						
	194	9.2	0.77	8.29	10.7	0.65	6.12
	*187	9.2	0.10	1.11	10.6	0.12	1.15
* Labs	Eliminated - 4	474, 788, 1010,	1435, 268	3, 2935, 3562			
let Area (sq ir	ו)						
	194	20.0	1.40	7.0	19.4	1.56	8.0
	*181	19.7	0.20	1.0	19.2	0.34	1.8
* Labs	Eliminated - 7	788, 926, 1522,	2057, 209 <sup>-</sup>	1, 2109, 2115	, 2128, 2273, 24	38, 2683, 2	2960, 3693
Absorption (Ib	<b>/ft³</b> )						
	194	13.4	1.20	8.9	11.0	1.19	10.8
	*189	13.4	0.66	4.9	11.0	0.86	7.8
* Labs	Eliminated - 1	1010, 1435, 200	04, 2935, 29	960			


## CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM


Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 33 and No. 34


## Final Report – September 14, 2012

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		Sample No.33			Sample No. 34			
Test (unit)	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.	
Density (lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )	)							
	194	105.8	3.0	2.8	126.6	3.3	2.6	
	*186	105.5	1.2	1.1	126.5	2.2	1.7	
* Labs	s Eliminated - 2	202, 474, 1010,	1435, 2004	4, 2091, 2935	, 2960			
Equivalent Th	ickness (inch)	)						
	193	2.6	0.30	11.5	2.5	0.36	14.3	
	*183	2.6	0.03	1.2	2.5	0.06	2.2	
* Labs	s Eliminated - 9	9, 552, 926, 122	26, 1357, 20	069, 2438, 26	83, 2960, 3562			








Sample No. 34

Ave 2248


S.D. 387


C.V. 17.2


CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Net Area Compressive Strength CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 33 and No. 34




Labs Eliminated: 474











CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Minimum Face Shell Thickness CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 33 and No. 34

Sample No. 33Ave 1.04S.D. 0.03C.V. 2.8Sample No. 34Ave 1.04S.D. 0.03C.V. 2.9


Labs Eliminated: 9, 360, 634, 753, 1189, 1200, 1207, 1522, 1572, 2078, 2128, 2149, 2272, 2398, 3219, 3680






CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Immersed Weight CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 33 and No. 34


Labs off Diagram: 788




Labs off Diagram: 475



Labs Eliminated: 474, 788, 1010, 1435, 2683, 2935, 3562





CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Net Area CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 33 and No. 34



Absorption

Labs off Diagram: 3352, 3542





CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Equivalent Thickness CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 33 and No. 34