CEMENT AND CONCRETE REFERENCE LABORATORY PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Final Report Concrete Masonry Unit Proficiency Samples Number 43 and Number 44



September 2017

www.ccrl.us



September 13, 2017

To: Participants in the CCRL Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Sample Program

SUBJECT: Final Report for Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Following is the report for the current pair of CCRL **Concrete Masonry Units** Proficiency Samples which were distributed in July 2017. These specimens were 4x8x8" hollow concrete masonry units made to ASTM Specification C90.

This report consists of a statistical Summary of Results, a set of general Scatter Diagrams, and associated detailed information. The Table of Results with individualized information for laboratory can be downloaded at our website located at: http://www.ccrl.us/.

Normalized Web Area – No ratings were reported for this test. Normalized web area is a physical requirement specified in Table 1 of ASTM Specification C90. The calculation for normalized web area is found in ASTM C140 Annex A.1.5.2. The normalized web area scatter diagram on the following pages shows a wide distribution of the reported test results. Some possible causes for this variation could be as follows:

- A_{wt} total web area is the sum of the web areas. Since these specimens have two webs, the total web area would be the sum of the two web areas. For samples 43 & 44 the A_{wt}, total web area is probably in the range of 15 to16 in.².
- L_n and H_n , nominal length and height These are nominal dimensions, not actual measured dimensions. For samples 43 & 44 the nominal dimensions for both length and height would be 8 inch.
- These same variations were seen in last year's samples, samples 41 & 42.

The CCRL Proficiency Sample Programs are intended for internal use by the laboratory as a tool to identify potential problems in laboratory procedures or test equipment and to initiate remedial actions. These programs are designed to complement the CCRL Laboratory Inspection Program as part of a total quality system. Care should be taken when using this program for any other purpose.

Additional samples of these two concrete masonry units and other CCRL samples are available for purchase. These samples may be useful for equipment verification, technician training, and research. Contact CCRL for availability and price.

It is presently anticipated that the next Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples will be distributed in July 2018.

Sincerely,

Polin K. Haust

Robin K. Haupt Supervisor, Proficiency Sample Programs Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

4441 Buckeystown Pike, Suite C ◆ Frederick, Maryland 21704 phone: 240-436-4800 ◆ fax: 610-834-7066 ◆ email: ccrl@astm.org

To: Participants in the CCRL Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Sample Program

FROM: Robin K. Haupt, Supervisor, PSP

SUBJECT: Explanation of Final Report on Results of Tests on Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 43 and No. 44

This letter and the material included with it constitute the final report and summary of results for the current pair of Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples, which were distributed in July 2017. This material includes a Table of Results for Individual laboratory data, a statistical Summary of Results, and a set of general scatter diagrams. Your unique laboratory number is displayed at the top of the Individual Table of Results.

An explanation of the program is contained in the paper: "Statistical Evaluation of Interlaboratory Cement Tests" by J. R. Crandall and R. L. Blaine <u>View Document</u>, and "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program" by W.J. Youden <u>View Document</u>, which can be found in Volume 59, Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

Laboratory Ratings

Each laboratory receives an individualized Laboratory Ratings. Each line of the ratings shows the test title and the reporting unit in the first two columns. After that it lists in order, the laboratory's results for the odd and even numbered samples, overall averages for the odd and even numbered samples, and the laboratory's ratings for the odd and even samples. Please note that individual laboratory ratings were not given for some test results. These results were gathered for information at the request of consulting ASTM Committee member.

The ratings for the individual laboratory were determined in the manner described by Crandall and Blaine using a rating scale of 1 to 5 instead of 0 to 4. The ratings have no valid standing beyond showing the difference between the individual laboratory result and the average for a particular test.

The following table details the relationship between the ratings and the averages.

Ratings	Range (Number of Standard Deviations)	Number (Per 100) of Laboratories achieving the rating ¹
5	Less than 1	69
4	1 to 1.5	18
3	1.5 to 2	9
2	2 to 2.5	3
1	Greater than 2.5	1

The sign of the rating merely shows whether the result reported was greater or less than the average obtained.

In cases where some laboratories' results are eliminated, averages, standard deviations, coefficients of variation, and the ratings of the other laboratories' results, are recalculated using the data remaining after the elimination. Since the laboratory ratings given are the results from this one series of tests, you need not attach too much significance to a single low rating, or pair of ratings, from this one series. A

¹Youden, W.J., "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program", *Proceedings of the American Society for testing and Materials Volume 59*, 1959.

continuing tendency to get low ratings on several pairs of samples should lead a laboratory to consider the types of error, systematic and random, contribute to ratings that are low. Systematic error, which is indicated by low ratings with the same signs on each pair of samples, means a consistent error is occurring in equipment and/or test procedures. One indication of random error is low ratings on both samples with different signs.. Since systematic error occurs with more regularity, its cause is generally easier to find than the cause of random error.

Summary of Results

The Summary of Results provide the statistical summary for each test. Each line lists the test, the number of participants represented, the averages, standard deviations and coefficients of variations. When necessary the data from the test is represented in two lines, one line with all results reported, and then a second line with outlying results omitted. Sometimes two or more recalculations are required to eliminate all outliers from the test. In these cases, all of the laboratories omitted in previous recalculations are also omitted in subsequent ones. Results omitted are values that are more than three standard deviations from the mean of one or both samples. Elimination of these outlying results may little effect on the average, but may have a more pronounced effect on the standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

Scatter Diagrams

General scatter diagrams are supplied with this report. Crandall and Blaine describe the manner of preparing scatter diagrams, and their interpretation, in the paper published in the 1959 ASTM Proceedings.

Using the results received from each laboratory, a scatter diagram is generated for each test method by plotting the value for the odd numbered samples on the *X*, or horizontal axis, against the value for the even numbered samples on the Y, or vertical axis. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines, which divide the diagrams into four sections or quadrants, place the average values for the odd and even numbered samples, respectively. The first line of print under the diagram includes the test number, as given on the data sheet, the test title, and the number of data points on the diagrams. The number of plotted points may not agree with the total number of data pairs included in the analysis because a few points may be off the diagram, and some points may represent several data pairs, which are identical. Laboratories whose points are off the diagram will have a rating of ± 1 for that particular test.

As described in Crandall and Blaine, a tight circular pattern of points around the intersection of the median lines is the ideal situation. Stretching out of the pattern into the first (upper right) and third (lower left) quadrants, suggests some kind of bias, or tendency for laboratories to get high or low results on both samples. Examination of the scatter diagrams indicates strong evidence of bias on many tests.

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Final Report – September 13, 2017

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		Sample No.43			Sample No. 44			
Test (unit) #Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.		
Received Weig	ht - Compress	sion Units (Ib)						
	241	10.2	0.09	0.91	10.8	0.09	0.83	
	*236	10.2	0.06	0.58	10.8	0.06	0.55	
* Labs	Eliminated - 12	200, 1378, 212	6, 2214, 32	224				
Maximum Com	pressive Load	d (Ibf)						
	242	48418	7989	16.5	40703	6306	15.5	
	*239	48774	7362	15.1	40839	6069	14.9	
* Labs	Eliminated - 10	019, 1446, 419	2					
Net Area Comp	ressive Stren	gth (psi)						
	242	2489	416	16.7	2136	350	16.4	
	*241	2495	407	16.3	2139	348	16.3	
* Labs	Eliminated - 14	446						
Received Weig	ht - Absorptic	on Units (Ib)						
	241	10.2	0.08	0.81	10.8	0.09	0.84	
	*235	10.2	0.06	0.59	10.8	0.06	0.51	
* Labs	Eliminated - 12	200, 1268, 135	7, 1423, 21	55, 2214				
Width (inch)								
	242	3.7	0.05	1.4	3.6	0.05	1.4	
No Lab	s Eliminated fo	or This Test						
Height (inch)								
	243	7.6	0.02	0.31	7.6	0.02	0.31	
No Lab	s Eliminated fo	or This Test						
Length (inch)								
	243	7.6	0.04	0.53	7.6	0.03	0.45	
No Lab	s Eliminated for	or This Test						

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Final Report – September 13, 2017

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		Sa	Sample No.43		Sample No. 44		
Test (unit)	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
Minimum Face S	Shell Thickne	ess (inch)					
	243	1.08	0.05	4.9	1.09	0.05	5.0
	*234	1.08	0.04	3.5	1.08	0.04	3.8
* Labs I	Eliminated - 4	75, 1279, 1310	, 1367, 17	77, 2294, 3252	2, 4058, 4198		
Minimum Web 1	Thickness (in	ch)					
	242	, 1.05	0.07	6.4	1.06	0.07	6.2
	*239	1.05	0.05	5.1	1.06	0.05	4.9
* Labs I	Eliminated - 29	960, 3811, 419	2				
Web Height (inc	:h)						
	240	7.5	0.79	10.50	7.5	0.79	10.55
	*235	7.6	0.03	0.42	7.6	0.04	0.54
* Labs I	Eliminated - 12	200, 2272, 309	1, 4022, 4	192			
Immersed Weig	ht (lb)						
	243	5.6	0.15	2.7	6.4	0.15	2.4
	*233	5.7	0.05	1.0	6.4	0.05	0.8
* Labs I	Eliminated - 2	1, 210, 640, 10	08, 1200,	1446, 1576, 18	322, 2341, 4192		
Saturated Weig	ht (lb)						
	243	11.0	0.08	0.73	11.6	0.10	0.85
	*240	11.0	0.06	0.57	11.6	0.07	0.64
* Labs I	Eliminated - 12	200, 1495, 405	8				
Oven-Dry Weigl	nt (Ib)						
	243	10.0	0.08	0.81	10.7	0.10	0.92
	*239	10.0	0.06	0.65	10.7	0.05	0.47
* Labs I	Eliminated - 12	200, 1955, 200	3, 3252				
Net Area (sq in)							
	243	19.6	1.41	7.2	19.2	1.54	8.0
	*222	19.4	0.24	1.2	18.9	0.28	1.5

2438, 2518, 3197, 3252, 3811, 4058, 4192

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Concrete Masonry Units Proficiency Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Final Report – September 13, 2017

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		S	ample No.4	3	Sample No. 44		
Test (unit)	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
Absorption (lb/	ft ³)						
	243	11.6	0.96	8.3	10.7	1.03	9.7
	*227	11.6	0.60	5.1	10.7	0.71	6.6
* Labs 4058, 4	Eliminated - 5 192	1, 1306, 1378	8, 1534, 1553	8, 1577, 1591,	2109, 2115, 2	155, 2177, 2	341, 2442, 3811,
Density (Ib/ft ³)							
	243	117.1	1.8	1.5	128.4	3.2	2.5
	*228	117.0	1.3	1.1	128.7	1.8	1.4
* Labs 4192	Eliminated - 2	1, 41, 640, 12	200, 1446, 15	553, 1576, 182	22, 2003, 2115	, 2341, 3168	, 3762, 3811,
Net Volume (ft ³)						
	241	0.0853	0.0017	2.0	0.0833	0.0024	2.8
	*235	0.0852	0.0011	1.3	0.0832	0.0013	1.5
* Labs	Eliminated - 12	287, 1446, 18	322, 2341, 31	97, 4192			
Percent Solids	(percent)						
	238	69.6	1.6	2.3	68.1	1.8	2.7
	*234	69.7	1.4	2.0	68.2	1.5	2.1
* Labs	Eliminated - 12	287, 1822, 23	841, 3811				
Normalized We	b (in²/ft³)						
	231	29.4	10.2	34.8	29.5	10.2	34.7
No Lab	s Eliminated fo	or This Test					
Equivalent Thic	kness (inch)						
	241	2.6	0.29	11.6	2.5	0.30	11.8
	*227	2.5	0.05	2.1	2.5	0.05	2.0
* Labs	Eliminated - 10	03, 537, 906,	1287, 1822,	2019, 2077, 2	2146, 2240, 23	41, 3050, 38	11, 3947, 4192



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Received Weight - Compression Units CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Labs Eliminated: 1200, 1378, 2126, 2214, 3224



Maximum Compressive Load CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Labs Eliminated: 1019, 1446, 4192



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Net Area Compressive Strength CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Labs Eliminated: 1446



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Received Weight - Absorption Units CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Labs Eliminated: 1200, 1268, 1357, 1423, 2155, 2214



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Sample No. 44 Width (inch)







CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Minimum Face Shell Thickness CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

 Test No. 532
 Minimum Face Shell Thickness
 232 Points

 Sample No. 43
 Ave
 1.08
 S.D.
 0.04
 C.V.
 3.5

 Sample No. 44
 Ave
 1.08
 S.D.
 0.04
 C.V.
 3.8

 Labs Eliminated:
 475, 1279, 1310, 1367, 1777, 2294, 3252, 4058, 4198
 1.08
 1.08
 1.08

Labs off Diagram: 537, 2155



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Minimum Web Thickness CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Labs Eliminated: 2960, 3811, 4192

Labs off Diagram: 3302



Labs Eliminated: 1200, 2272, 3091, 4022, 4192

Labs off Diagram: 1821



Sample No. 44 Ave 0.4 S.D. 0.05 C.V. 0.8

Labs Eliminated: 21, 210, 640, 1008, 1200, 1446, 1576, 1822, 2341, 4192



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Saturated Weight

Labs Eliminated: 1200, 1495, 4058



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Oven-Dry Weight

Labs off Diagram: 2019, 3811



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Net Area CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Sample No. 43Ave 19.4S.D.0.24C.V.1.2Sample No. 44Ave 18.9S.D.0.28C.V.1.5

Labs Eliminated: 41, 42, 475, 1189, 1284, 1287, 1403, 1446, 1576, 1577, 1670, 1796, 1822, 2341, 2438, 2518, 3197, 3252, 3811, 4058, 4192



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Absorption CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Test No. 640 Absorption 227 Points

Sample No. 43 Ave 11.6 S.D. 0.60 C.V. 5.1 Sample No. 44 Ave 10.7 S.D. 0.71 C.V. 6.6

Labs Eliminated: 51, 1306, 1378, 1534, 1553, 1577, 1591, 2109, 2115, 2155, 2177, 2341, 2442, 3811, 4058, 4192



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Density CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Test No. 650 Density 228 Points

Sample No. 43 Ave 117.0 S.D. 1.3 C.V. 1.1 Sample No. 44 Ave 128.7 S.D. 1.8 C.V. 1.4

Labs Eliminated: 21, 41, 640, 1200, 1446, 1553, 1576, 1822, 2003, 2115, 2341, 3168, 3762, 3811, 4192



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Net Volume CONCRETE MASONRY UNITS Samples No. 43 and No. 44

Test No. 652 Net Volume 234 Points

Sample No. 43Ave0.0852S.D.0.0011C.V.1.3Sample No. 44Ave0.0832S.D.0.0013C.V.1.5Labs Eliminated: 1287, 1446, 1822, 2341, 3197, 4192

Labs off Diagram: 1576



Percent Solids

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Labs Eliminated: 1287, 1822, 2341, 3811

Labs off Diagram: 1576



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Normalized Web

Sample No. 44 Normalized Web (in²/ft³)

Sample No. 43 S.D. 10.2 C.V. 34.8 Ave 29.4 Ave 29.5 S.D. 10.2 C.V. 34.7 Sample No. 44



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Equivalent Thickness

Sample No. 43 S.D. 0.05 C.V. 2.1 Ave 2.5 C.V. 2.0 Ave 2.5 S.D. 0.05 Sample No. 44

Labs Eliminated: 103, 537, 906, 1287, 1822, 2019, 2077, 2146, 2240, 2341, 3050, 3811, 3947, 4192