# **CEMENT AND CONCRETE REFERENCE LABORATORY PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM**

**Final Report Concrete Proficiency Samples** Number 163 and Number 164

June 2012





June 19, 2012

#### TO: Participants in the CCRL Portland Cement Concrete Proficiency Sample Program

#### SUBJECT: Concrete Proficiency Samples No. 163 and No. 164

Enclosed is your copy of the final report on the test results for the CCRL Concrete Proficiency Samples which were distributed in April 2012.

This report consists of a statistical Summary of Results, a set of general Scatter Diagrams and associated detailed information. The Table of Results with test results and ratings for your laboratory can be viewed and printed at our website located at: <u>http://ccrl.us/</u>.

The CCRL Proficiency Sample Programs are intended for internal use by the laboratory as a tool to identify potential problems in laboratory procedures or test equipment and to initiate remedial actions. These programs are designed to complement the CCRL Laboratory Inspection Program as part of a total quality system. Care should be taken when using this program for any other purpose.

Additional samples of these two materials and other CCRL samples are available for **purchase**. These samples may be useful for equipment verification, technician training, and research. Contact CCRL for availability and price.

It is presently anticipated that the next Concrete Proficiency Samples will be distributed in October 2012.

Sincerely,

Polin K. Haupt

Robin K. Haupt Supervisor, Proficiency Sample Programs Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

4441 Buckeystown Pike, Suite C ♦ Frederick, Maryland 21704 phone: 240-436-4800 ♦ fax: 610-834-7066 ♦ email: ccrl@astm.org

## To: Participants in the CCRL Concrete Proficiency Sample Program

### FROM: Robin K. Haupt, Supervisor, PSP

## SUBJECT: Explanation of Final Report on Results of Tests on Portland Cement Concrete Proficiency Samples No. 163 and No. 164

This letter, and the material included with it, constitutes the final report and summary of results for the current pair of Concrete Proficiency Samples that were distributed in April 2012. This material includes a statistical Summary of Results, and a set of general Scatter Diagrams. If your laboratory was a participate in this program a Table of Laboratory Results (lab data and ratings) for your laboratory data can be viewed and printed on the CCRL website

An explanation of the pr ogram is contained in the paper: "Statistical Evaluation of In terlaboratory Cement Tests" by J. R. C randall and R. L. Blaine <u>View Document</u>, and "Statist ical A spects of the Cement Testing Program" by W.J. Yo uden <u>View Document</u>, which can be found in Volume 59, Proceedings of the 62<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

## **Table of Results**

Each laborat ory receives an individualized Table of Results that contains la boratory test results and ratings. Each line of the test inform ation shows the test title and the reporting unit in the first two columns. After that it lists in order, the laboratory's test results for the odd and even numbered samples, overall averages for the odd and even numbered samples, and the laboratory's ratings for the odd and even samples. Please note that individual laboratory ratings were not given for temperature of concrete.

The ratings for each individual labor atory were deter mined in the manner described by Crandall and Blaine using a rating scale of 1 to 5 instead of 0 to 4. The ratings have no valid standing beyond showing the difference between the individual laboratory result and the average for a particular test.

The following table details the relationship between the ratings and the averages.

Ratings	Range (Number of Standard Deviations)	Number (Per 100) of Laboratories achieving the rating		
5	Less than 1	69		
4	1 to 1.5	18		
3	1.5 to 2	9		
2	2 to 2.5	3		
1	Greater than 2.5	1		

The sign of the rating indicates whether the result reported was greater or less than the average obtained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Youden, W. J., "Statistical Aspects of the Cem ent Testing Program", Volume 59, *Proceedings of the*  $62^{nd}$  *Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.* 

In case s whe re so me laboratories' re sults are eliminated, average s, standard deviations, coefficients of variation, and the ratings of the remaining laboratories' results, are recalculated using the data remaining after the elimination. Since the laboratory ratings given are the results from this one series of tests, y ou need not atta ch too much significance to a single low rating, or pair of ratings, from this one series. A continuing tendency to get low ratings on several pairs of samples should lead a laboratory to consider the types of error, sy stematic and random, that contribute to ratings that are low. Systematic error, which is indicated by low ratings with the same signs on each pair of samples, means a consi stent error is occurring in equipment an d/or test procedures. On e indication of random error is low ratings on bot h samples with different signs. Since syste matic error occurs with m ore regularity, its cause is generall y easier to find than the cause of random error.

## **Summary of Results**

The Summary of Results provides the statistical summary for each test. Each line lists the test, the number of participants represented, the averages, standard deviations and coefficients of variations. When necessary the data from the test is represented in two lines, one line with all results reported, and then a second line with invalid and outlying results omitted. Sometimes two or more recalculations are required to eliminate all outliers from the test. In these cases, all of the laboratories o mitted in previous recalculations are also o mitted in subsequent ones. Results omitted are values that are more than three standard deviations from the mean of one or both sam ples. Often, elimination of these outlying results has little effect on the average, but may have a more pronounced effect on the standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

#### Scatter Diagrams

General sc atter diagrams are supplied with this re port. Crandall and Blaine describe the manner of preparing scatter diagrams, and their interpreta tion, in the paper published in the 1959 ASTM Proceedings.

Using the results received from each laborator y, a scatter diagram is generated for each t est method by plotting the value for the odd numbered samples on the X, or horizontal axis, against the value for the even numbered samples on the Y, or vertical axis. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines, which divide the diagrams into four sections or quadrants, pl ace the average values for the odd and even num bered samples, respectively. The first line of print under the diagram includes the test num ber, as given on the data sheet, the test title, and the number of data points on the di agrams. The num ber of plotted points may not agree with the total number of data pairs included in the analysis because a few points may be off the diagram, and some points may represent several data pairs, which are identical. Laboratories whos e points are off the diagram will have a rating of  $\pm 1$  for that particular test.

As described in Crandall and Blaine, a tight circul ar pattern of points around the inters ection of the median lines is the ideal situation. Stretching out of the pattern into the first (upper right) and third (lower left) quadrants, suggests some kind of bias, or tendency for laboratories to get high or low results on both samples. Examination of the scatter diagrams indicates strong evidence of bias on many tests.

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Concrete Proficiency Samples No. 163 and No. 164

## Final Report June 19, 2012

# SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		Sa	Sample No.163		Sample No. 164		64
Test (unit) #La	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
Air Content - V	/olumetric Me	thod (percent)	)				
	1104	2.56	0.61	24	2.23	0.48	21
	*1083	2.54	0.52	20	2.22	0.43	19
* Labs Elii 2989, 306	minated - 981, 3, 3115, 3326,	1039, 1068, 13 3347, 3578, 36	72, 1576, 1 357	1582, 1864, 20	045, 2049, 2213	, 2309, 247	2, 2494, 2686,
Air Content - F	Pressure Meth	od (percent)					
	1304	2.6	0.59	23	2.3	0.45	20
	*1276	2.6	0.49	19	2.2	0.40	18
2314, 231	5, 2472, 2494,	43, 981, 1068, 2686, 2877, 30	1189, 1372 )63, 3115, 3	2, 1486, 1582, 3171, 3326, 3	1636, 1772, 18 347, 3446, 3636	64, 1979, Z	049, 2213, 2309,
Slump of Con	crete (inch)	2.40	4.40	24	2.02	4.00	07
	1315	3.42	1.10	34	2.83	1.06	37
3061, 310	9, 3171, 3243, <b>Concrete</b> ( <b>Ib</b> /	3252, 3520, 35	, 1383, 140 567, 3610, 3	3657, 3675, 3	703	307, 2471,	2315, 2677, 3046,
	1309	148.9	3.0	2.0	149.9	2.6	1.7
	*1288	148.8	1.6	1.1	149.9	1.5	1.0
* Labs Elii 2515, 294	minated - 33, 1 1, 3039, 3314,	179, 1210, 135 3474, 3610, 36	7, 1428, 14 60	447, 1700, 179	96, 1864, 2030, 1	2289, 2300	, 2393, 2444,
Compressive	Strength 4 x 8	- 7 day (psi)					
	823	4667	435	9.3	4973	432	8.7
	*807	4700	365	7.8	4997	363	7.3
* Labs Elii 3636	minated - 636,	920, 981, 1210	, 1552, 247	71, 2515, 293	6, 2999, 3053, 3	061, 3115,	3344, 3468, 3585,
Compressive	Strength 6 X 1	2 - 7 day (psi)					
	489	4325	373	8.6	4573	411	9.0
	*480	4344	326	7.5	4597	341	7.4
* Labs Elii	minated - 756,	828, 1039, 177	2, 2030, 22	237, 2792, 33	00, 3657		

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Concrete Proficiency Samples No. 163 and No. 164

## Final Report June 19, 2012

# SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Test (unit) #		Sa	Sample No.163		Sample No. 164			
	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.	
Temperature of	of Concrete (°	F)						
	1310	77	6	8.2	77	6	8.2	
No Labs E	Eliminated for T	This Test						





 Sample No. 163
 Ave 2.54
 S.D. 0.52
 C.V. 20

 Sample No. 164
 Ave 2.22
 S.D. 0.43
 C.V. 19

Labs Eliminated: 981, 1039, 1068, 1372, 1576, 1582, 1864, 2045, 2049, 2213, 2309, 2472, 2494, 2686, 2989, 3063, 3115, 3326, 3347, 3578, 3657





Test No. 6 Air Content - Pressure Method 1275 Points

Sample No. 163 Ave 2.6 S.D. 0.49 C.V. 19 Sample No. 164 Ave 2.2 S.D. 0.40 C.V. 18

Labs Eliminated: 39, 143, 981, 1068, 1189, 1372, 1486, 1582, 1636, 1772, 1864, 1979, 2049, 2213, 2309, 2314, 2315, 2472, 2494, 2686, 2877, 3063, 3115, 3171, 3326, 3347, 3446, 3636

Labs off Diagram: 1602



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Slump of Concrete CONCRETE Samples No. 163 and No. 164

 Sample No. 163
 Ave 3.37
 S.D. 1.08
 C.V. 32

 Sample No. 164
 Ave 2.77
 S.D. 0.94
 C.V. 34

Labs Eliminated: 266, 756, 805, 1103, 1383, 1403, 1612, 1952, 1979, 2030, 2387, 2471, 2515, 2877, 3048, 3061, 3109, 3171, 3243, 3252, 3520, 3567, 3610, 3657, 3675, 3703



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Unit Weight of Concrete CONCRETE Samples No. 163 and No. 16

2289, 2300, 2393, 2444, 2515, 2941, 3039, 3314, 3474, 3610, 3660

Labs off Diagram: 390, 1068, 1125, 2448, 2933, 3326, 3347





Sample No. 163 Ave 4700 S.D. 365 C.V. 7.8 Sample No. 164 Ave 4997 S.D. 363 C.V. 7.3

Labs Eliminated: 636, 920, 981, 1210, 1552, 2471, 2515, 2936, 2999, 3053, 3061, 3115, 3344, 3468, 3585, 3636

Labs off Diagram: 523, 1383, 1428, 1441, 1456, 3067, 3259, 3326, 3501





Sample No. 163Ave 4344S.D. 326C.V. 7.5Sample No. 164Ave 4597S.D. 341C.V. 7.4

Labs Eliminated: 756, 828, 1039, 1772, 2030, 2237, 2792, 3300, 3657

Labs off Diagram: 2285, 3347, 3446



CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Temperature of Concrete

 Sample No. 163
 Ave
 77
 S.D.
 6
 C.V.
 8.2

 Sample No. 164
 Ave
 77
 S.D.
 6
 C.V.
 8.2