# **CEMENT AND CONCRETE REFERENCE LABORATORY PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM**

**Final Report Portland Cement Proficiency Samples** Number 161 and Number 162

October 2006



**CEMENT AND CONCRETE REFERENCE LABORATORY** 

AT THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY GAITHERSBURG, MARYLAND 20899 (301) 975-6704

SPONSORED BY COMMITTEE C-1 ON CEMENT COMMITTEE C-9 ON CONCRETE AND CONCRETE AGGREGATES AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS

100 Bureau Dr., Stop 8618 Fax: 301-975-2243 e-mail: ccrl@nist.gov

October 11, 2006

#### To: Participants in the CCRL Portland Cement Proficiency Sample Program

#### SUBJECT: Final Report on Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162

Following is the final report for the current pair of CCRL **Portland Cement** Proficiency Samples which were distributed in June 2006. Portland Cement Sample No 161 was a ASTM C150 Type I and No. 162 was ASTM C150 Type I with limestone additions.

This report consists of a statistical Summary of Results, a set of general Scatter Diagrams, and associated detailed information. The Table of Results with individualized information for participating laboratories can be downloaded at our website located at: <u>http://ccrl.us/</u>. Some laboratory results were not included in the calclation of Tricalcium silicate and dicalcium silicate statistics. Additional information is provided in the following pages.

The CCRL Proficiency Sample Programs are intended for internal use by the laboratory as a tool to identify potential problems in laboratory procedures or test equipment and to initiate remedial actions. These programs are designed to complement the CCRL Laboratory Inspection Program as part of a total quality system. Care should be taken when using this program for any other purpose.

Additional samples of these two cements and other CCRL samples are available for purchase. These samples may be useful for equipment verification, technician training, and research. Contact CCRL for availability and price.

It is presently anticipated that the next Portland Cement Proficiency Samples will be distributed in January 2007.

Sincerely,

Polin K. Haupt

Robin K. Haupt Supervisor, Proficiency Sample Programs Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

Attachment

### To: Participants in the CCRL Portland Cement Proficiency Sample Program

### FROM: Robin K. Haupt, Supervisor, PSP

### SUBJECT: Explanation of Final Report on Results of Tests for Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162

This letter, and the material included with it, constitute the final report, and summary of results for the current pair of Portland Cement Proficiency Samples, which were distributed in June 2006. This material includes a Table of Results for individual laboratory data, a statistical Summary of Results, and a set of general Scatter Diagrams. Your unique laboratory number is displayed at the top of the individual Table of Results.

An explanation of the program is contained in the paper: "Statistical Evaluation of Interlaboratory Cement Tests" by J. R. Crandall and R. L. Blaine <u>View document</u>, and "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program" by W.J. Youden <u>View document</u>, which can be found in Volume 59, Proceedings of the 62<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

Each laboratory receives an individualized Table of Results. The Table of Results shows the, test title, and the reporting unit in the first two columns. After that it lists in order, the laboratory's results for the odd and even numbered samples, overall averages for the odd and even numbered samples, and the laboratory's ratings for the odd and even samples.

Laboratory ratings, shown in the Table of Results for the individual laboratory, were determined in the manner described by Crandall and Blaine using a rating scale of 1 to 5 instead of 0 to 4. The ratings have no valid standing beyond showing the difference between the individual laboratory result and the average for a particular test.

The following table details the relationship between the ratings and the averages.

Ratings	Range (Number of Standard Deviations)	Number (Per 100) of Laboratories achieving the rating			
5	Less than 1	69			
4	1 to 1.5	18			
3	1.5 to 2	9			
2	2 to 2.5	3			
1	Greater than 2.5	1			

The sign of the rating merely shows whether the result reported was greater or less than the average obtained.

Participants subscribing to the primary chemical analysis portion of this report should note that the statistics were calculated using data obtained by wet methods, and rapid methods of chemical analysis. Participants in the secondary chemical analysis should note that laboratory ratings are assigned using primary chemical statistics.

Please note that individual laboratory ratings were not given for the flow of air content mortar (test no. 190) and compressive strength mortar (test no. 230). Air content flows in the range of  $87.5 \pm 7.5$  are satisfactory,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Youden, W.J., "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program", Volume 59, *Proceedings of the* 62<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

labs with flow values outside this range will be flagged as a "Labs Eliminated" or "Labs Off Diagram" on the scatter diagram. Averages, standard deviations, and a scatter diagram are provided for your information. This information may be a helpful indicator of a problem with flow table apparatus or mortar mixing procedures. Flow values of 151 were assigned to laboratories reporting a mortar flow off the flow table top.

In cases where some laboratories' results are eliminated, averages, standard deviations, coefficients of variation, and the ratings of the other laboratories' results, are recalculated using the data remaining after the elimination. Since the laboratory ratings given are the results from this one series of tests, you need not attach too much significance to a single low rating, or pair of ratings, from this one series. A continuing tendency to get low ratings on several pairs of samples should lead a laboratory to consider the types of error, systematic and random, contribute to ratings that are low. Systematic error, which is indicated by low ratings with the same signs on each pair of samples, means a consistent error is occurring in equipment and/or test procedures. One indication of random error is low ratings on both samples with different signs. Since systematic error occurs with more regularity, its cause is generally easier to find than the cause of random error.

### **Summary of Results**

Usually, averages, standard deviations, and coefficients of variation are given with all results reported, and then with one or more outlying results omitted. Sometimes, two or more recalculations with laboratories omitted, have been done for the same test. In these cases, all of the laboratories omitted in previous recalculations are also omitted in subsequent ones. Results omitted are values that are more than three standard deviations from the mean of one or both samples. Often, elimination of these outlying results has little effect on the average, but may have a more pronounced effect on the standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

**Calculation of tricalcium silicate and dicalcium silicate** -C150 requires the use of  $CO_2$  content when calculating these two components for cements containing limestone additions. On Sample No. 162 and previous samples containing limestone additions it has been noticed that a significant number of laboratories reporting results for these two components did not report  $CO_2$  content. For this pair of samples, tricalcium silicate and dicalcium silicate results from laboratories not reporting  $CO_2$  content were not included in calculation of statistics and were not assigned ratings for Sample No. 162.

#### **Scatter Diagrams**

General scatter diagrams are supplied with this report. Crandall and Blaine describe the manner of preparing scatter diagrams, and their interpretation, in the paper published in the 1959 ASTM Proceedings. Each laboratory will receive a complete set of diagrams according to their subscription to the given program.

Using the results received from each laboratory, a scatter diagram is generated for each test method by plotting the value for the odd numbered samples on the *X*, or horizontal axis, against the value for the even numbered samples on the *Y*, or vertical axis. To find your point, just plot as you would when plotting any scatter diagram. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines, which divide the diagrams into four sections or quadrants, place the average values for the odd and even numbered samples, respectively. The first line of print under the diagram includes the test number, as given on the data sheet, the test title, and the number of data points on the diagrams. The number of plotted points may not agree with the total number of data pairs included in the analysis because a few points may be off the diagram, and some points may represent several data pairs, which are identical. Laboratories whose points are off the diagram will have a rating of  $\pm 1$  for that particular test.

**Diagrams for CO<sub>2</sub> and Limestone** - Sample No.161 did NOT contain limestone additions, therefore scatter diagrams for  $CO_2$  and limestone content were not printed.

As described in Crandall and Blaine, a tight circular pattern of points around the intersection of the median lines is the ideal situation. Stretching out of the pattern into the first (upper right) and third (lower left) quadrants, suggests some kind of bias, or tendency for laboratories to get high or low results on both samples. Examination of the scatter diagrams indicates strong evidence of bias on many tests.

### CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162 Final Report - Chemical Results October 11, 2006

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Sample No. 161 Sample No. 162 Test C.V. C.V. #Labs S.D. Average S.D. Average Silicon Dioxide 20.38 1.64 20.35 prcnt 235 0.34 0.32 1.56 Silicon Dioxide prent \*231 20.36 0.24 1.16 20.34 0.22 1.11 Aluminum Oxide 233 5.17 0.16 3.20 4.76 0.14 3.01 prcnt Aluminum Oxide prcnt \*216 5.18 0.092 1.78 4.75 0.087 1.83 Ferric Oxide prcnt 236 3.66 0.089 2.43 3.52 0.087 2.48 Ferric Oxide 3.53 prcnt \*223 3.66 0.062 1.70 0.062 1.77 Calcium oxide prcnt 233 63.90 0.44 0.695 61.92 0.55 0.889 Calcium Oxide prcnt \*229 63.89 0.42 0.659 61.89 0.49 0.792 Magnesium Oxide 234 1.16 0.099 8.54 3.53 0.152 4.29 prcnt Magnesium Oxide prcnt \*219 1.17 0.056 4.80 3.55 0.101 2.85 Sulfur Trioxide 2.74 4.75 236 0.12 4.23 3.00 0.14 prcnt Sulfur Trioxide prent \*221 2.73 0.072 2.64 3.00 0.091 3.03 0.15 9.02 8.15 Loss on Ignition 237 1.68 2.01 0.16 prcnt Loss on Ignition 0.089 5.30 2.00 0.100 4.98 prcnt \*222 1.67 Sodium Oxide prent 219 0.103 0.080 57.8 0.062 60.6 0.139 Sodium Oxide prcnt \*209 0.094 0.029 30.4 0.127 0.028 21.8

\* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Silicon Dioxide	52 207 280 1190
Aluminum Oxide	3 8 29 207 768 2305 2483 2484 1 26 137 168 201 1025 1715 2466 3009
Ferric Oxide	3 6 207 280 305 167 201 492 1715 1956 2296 2484 2982
Calcium Oxide	3 107 207 3127
Magnesium Oxide	66 143 201 1799 2144 2296 2466 2484 8 177 207 1676 1715 3009 3125
Sulfur Trioxide	6 51 52 107 504 2305 137 413 491 1483 1799 2437 3009 3057 3133
Loss on Ignition	34 51 69 137 492 690 1466 156 159 181 244 696 932 1079 2295
Sodium Oxide	354 504 1799 9 56 280 557 1251 1379 3125

### CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162 Final Report - Chemical Results September 8, 2006

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Sample No. 161 Test Average C.V. C.V. #Labs S.D. Average S.D. Potassium Oxide 0.63 14.3 0.53 0.082 15.6 prcnt 225 0.090 Potassium Oxide 0.63 2.65 0.53 3.36 prent \*205 0.017 0.018 Titanium Dioxide 173 0.24 0.025 10.72 0.25 0.024 9.48 prcnt Titanium Dioxide prcnt \*160 0.23 0.0083 3.53 0.25 0.0084 3.42 **Phosphorus Pent** prcnt 160 0.237 0.044 18.4 0.066 0.018 28.1**Phosphorus Pent** prent \*150 0.237 0.0164 6.93 0.064 0.0093 14.51 Zinc Oxide 0.016 0.025 159.3 0.053 0.025 46.2 prcnt 73 Zinc Oxide 24.35 0.049 0.0039 8.08 prent \* 67 0.011 0.0027 Manganic Oxide 0.193 0.028 14.4 0.188 0.095 50.3 prcnt 124 Manganic Oxide prent \*113 0.198 0.0054 2.74 0.184 0.0056 3.08 Chloride 109.1 100 0.020 0.016 81.8 0.011 0.012 prcnt Chloride prcnt \* 93 45.6 0.009 0.0054 0.017 0.0078 60.1 0.14 0.48 29.6 Insoluble Residue prcnt 217 0.53 26.10.14 **Insoluble Residue** prcnt \*208 0.52 0.108 0.098 20.9 21.0 0.47 Free Calcium Oxid prcnt 0.35 25.0 0.25 45.6 186 1.41 0.56 Free Calcium Oxid prcnt \*179 1.41 0.30 21.4 0.53 0.19 36.5

\* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Potassium Oxide	17 18 36 95 156 169 177 207 698 1054 2477 8 25 176 206 501 883 2463 2483 3009
Titan Dioxide	207 284 492 504 48 130 161 175 1042 1190 2305 2412 2484
Phosph Pentoxide	176 504 687 1799 18 201 493 684 1466 1940
Zinc Oxide	22 284 54 95 2295 2434
Manganic Oxide	181 284 413 2434 2437 24 48 494 1251 2412 2462
Chloride	440 441 870 1799 246 284 2308
Insoluble Residue	23 280 64 694 696 1940 2435 2477 3057
Free Calcium Oxide	74 75 161 177 181 1676 2934

Sample No. 162

### CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162 Final Report - Chemical Results September 8, 2006

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

			Sample	e No. 161	Sample No. 162					
Test		#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.		
<sup>(1)</sup> Carbon Dioxide	prent	142				1.29	0.16	12.7		
<sup>(1)</sup> Limestone	prent	138				3.1	0.4	13.5		
Chromium Oxide Chromium Oxide	prcnt prcnt	69 * 66	$\begin{array}{c} 0.018\\ 0.018\end{array}$	$0.0057 \\ 0.0044$	32.2 24.2	0.018 0.018	$0.0050 \\ 0.0040$	27.8 21.9		
Potential Phase Co <sup>(2)</sup> Tricalcium Silicate <sup>(2)</sup> Tricalcium Silicate <sup>(2)</sup> Dicalcium Silicate	prcnt prcnt prcnt prcnt	ition 140 *139 140	56.8 56.9 15.6	3.0 2.8 3.2	5.38 4.94 20.4	47.0 47.0 22.9	3.8 3.7 3.4	8.10 7.84 14.9		
<sup>(2)</sup> Dicalcium Silicate Tricalc Aluminate Tricalc Aluminate	prent prent prent	*137 199 *187	15.5 7.6 7.5	2.6 0.43 0.28	16.9 5.74 3.78	22.9 6.7 6.6	3.1 0.39 0.27	13.5 5.86 4.02		
Tetracalc Alumino Tetracalc Alumino	prent prent	192 *186	11.2 11.1	1.1 0.23	10.3 2.11	10.8 10.7	1.2 0.22	10.8 2.01		

#### \* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Carbon Dioxide	54 93 243 1042 1054 1079 1466							
Limestone	4 93 243 1042 1254 1079 1466							
Chromium Oxide	684 2412 3057							
Tricalcium Silicate	2305							
Dicalcium Silicate	167 2305 2492							
Tricalcium Aluminate	8 29 209 491 1715 2305 2483 143 168 2466 2934 3009							
Tetracalcium Aluminoferrite	209 219 305 491 1715 2522							

#### **NOTES:**

(1) Carbon dioxide and Limestone - Sample No. 161 does not contain limestone additions, therefore no values are reported for these components for Sample No. 161.

(2) Tricalcium silicate and Dicalcium silicate - ASTM C150 requires that cements containing limestone additions use  $CO_2$  in the calculation of these two phases. Sample No. 162 contains limestone additions, therefore test results of 54 laboratories not determining  $CO_2$  were not used in calculating the statistics. See following page for listing of labs not included.

# Test Results Not Used in Calculating Statistics for Tricalcium Silicate and Dicalcium Silicate

List of laboratories reporting Portland No. 162 test results for tricalcium silicate and dicalcium silicate but did not report values for  $CO_2$ .

8	246
25	252
40	280
47	414
48	438
50	557
69	684
73	692
80	696
95	787
98	870
101	996
106	1053
129	1190
139	1799
158	1853
161	1940
162	2144
169	2435
177	2463
181	2483
197	2982
201	3057
206	3124
209	3126
219	3127
221	3133





SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 20.336 S.D. 0.22 C.V. LABS ELIMINATED 52 207 280 1190

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 26 50 51 3009



**TEST NO.21** 

# Aluminum Oxide

**215 POINTS** 

SAMPLE NO. 161 AVE 5.1791 S.D. 0.092 C.V. 1.78
SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 4.7540 S.D. 0.087 C.V. 1.83
LABS ELIMINATED 3 8 29 207 768 2305 2483 2484 1 26 137 168 201 1025 1715 2466 3009
LABS OFF DIAGRAM 20





SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 3.5278 S.D. 0.062 C.V. 1.77 LABS ELIMINATED 3 6 207 280 305 167 201 492 1715 1956 2296 2484 2982

Ī



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM





SAMPLE NO. 161 AVE 1.1701 S.D. 0.056 C.V. 4.80
SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 3.5495 S.D. 0.101 C.V. 2.85
LABS ELIMINATED 66 143 201 1799 2144 2296 2466 2484 8 177 207 1676 1715 3009 3125
LABS OFF DIAGRAM 2982





SAMPLE NO. 161 AVE 2.7302 S.D. 0.072 C.V. 2.64
SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 3.0012 S.D. 0.091 C.V. 3.03
LABS ELIMINATED 6 51 52 107 504 2305 137 413 491 1483 1799 2437 3009 3057 3133



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

LABS ELIMINATED 34 51 69 137 492 690 1466 156 159 181 244 696 932 1079 2295

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 1594





SAMPLE NO. 161 AVE 0.0941 S.D. 0.029 C.V. 30.4 C.V. 21.8 SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 0.1272 S.D. 0.028 LABS ELIMINATED 354 504 1799 9 56 280 557 1251 1379 3125

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Potassium Oxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



LABS OFF DIAGRAM 414 504 1676

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Titanium Dioxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162







TEST NO.102 Phosphorus Pentoxide 149 POINTS

 SAMPLE NO. 161
 AVE
 0.23705
 S.D.
 0.0164
 C.V.
 6.93

 SAMPLE NO. 162
 AVE
 0.06441
 S.D.
 0.0093
 C.V.
 14.51

 LABS ELIMINATED
 176
 504
 687
 1799
 18
 201
 493
 684
 1466
 1940

 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 95
 Image: Content of the second se



LABS ELIMINATED 22 284 54 95 2295 2434

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 881



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Manganic Oxide

SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 0.18378 S.D. 0.0056 C.V. 3.08 LABS ELIMINATED 181 284 413 2434 2437 24 48 494 1251 2412 2462 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 309



SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 0.00892 S.D. 0.0054 C.V. 60.1 LABS ELIMINATED 440 441 870 1799 246 284 2308

**CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM** 





SAMPLE NO. 161AVE0.5153S.D.0.108C.V.21.0SAMPLE NO. 162AVE0.4666S.D.0.098C.V.20.9LABS ELIMINATED23 280 64 694 696 1940 2435 2477 3057

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Free Calcium Oxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 0.527 S.D. 0.19 C.V. 36.5 LABS ELIMINATED 74 75 161 177 181 1676 2934

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Carbon Dioxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162

No Diagram Printed for this Component

Sample No. 161 did not Contain Limestone Additions. Test Results Were Analyzed for Sample No. 162 Only.

TEST NO. 97

Carbon Dioxide

142 labs

Sample No. 162

AVE 1.29

S.D. 0.32

C.V. 12.7

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Limestone Content PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162

No Diagram Printed for this Component

Sample No. 161 did not Contain Limestone Additions. Test Results Were Analyzed for Sample No. 162 Only.

TEST NO. 98

Limestone Content

138 labs

Sample No. 162

AVE 3.1 S.D. 0.4

C.V. 13.5





LABS ELIMINATED 684 2412 3057





LABS ELIMINATED 2305





LABS ELIMINATED 167 2305 2492

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 244





LABS ELIMINATED 8 29 209 491 1715 2305 2483 143 168 2466 2934 3009

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM **Tetracalcium Aluminoferrite** PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



SAMPLE NO. 161 AVE 11.119 S.D. 0.23 C.V. 2.11 SAMPLE NO. 162 10.729 S.D. 0.22 C.V. 2.01 AVE LABS ELIMINATED 209 219 305 491 1715 2522 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 167 280 1956 2982

## CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162 Final Report - Physical Results October 11, 2006

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Sample No. 161

Sample No. 162

Test		#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
N.C. Water pr	rcnt	248	25.6	2.2	8.70	25.1	2.2	8.58
N.C. Water pr	rcnt	* 239	25.5	0.45	1.77	25.1	0.38	1.50
Vicat TS Initial r	min	241	121	18.2	15.0	138	21.4	15.4
Vicat TS Initial r	min	* 237	121	13.8	11.4	139	16.3	11.7
Vicat TS Final r	min	234	228	40.0	17.6	251	40.9	16.3
Vicat TS Final r	min	* 231	229	32.9	14.4	253	32.9	13.0
Gillmore TS Final r	min	170	161	24.6	15.3	178	27.3	15.3
Gillmore TS Final r	min	* 167	160	22.6	14.1	178	25.4	14.3
Gillmore TS Initial r	min	170	264	38.8	14.7	286	38.6	13.5
Gillmore TS Initial r	min	* 168	262	34.9	13.3	284	36.2	12.8
False SetprFalse Setpr	rcnt	203	83	9.4	11.2	78	9.9	12.7
	rcnt	* 201	84	6.8	8.14	78	8.3	10.54
Autoclave Expan pr Autoclave Expan pr	rcnt rcnt	227 * 213	-0.013 -0.015	$0.045 \\ 0.024$	-339.19 -157.93	0.021 0.021	$0.034 \\ 0.018$	163.28 84.02

#### CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

\* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Normal Consistency, Water	33	43 25 52	74	168 4	40	2144	247	7					
Vicat TS Initial	15	33 360 2	462										
Vicat TS Final	14	15 33											
Gillmore TS Initial	14	1079 248	4										
Gillmore TS Final	14	2484											
False Set	15	43											
Autoclave Expansion	60	252 502	691	1853	93	146	222	458	1054	1379	2466	2477	2482

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162 Final Report - Physical Results October 11, 2006

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Sample No. 162

Test		#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.		
Air Content	prcnt	225	8.3	1.1	13.1	9.5	1.2	12.9		
Air Content	prent	* 219	8.4	0.96	11.5	9.5	1.10	11.5		
AC Mix Water	prent	219	68.2	5.3	7.74	67.4	5.7	8.42		
AC Mix Water	prent	* 210	68.6	2.2	3.23	67.9	2.2	3.27		
AC Flow	prcnt	224	87	3.8	4.36	89	4.0	4.52		
AC Flow	prent	* 220	87	3.4	3.97	89	3.2	3.59		
Comp Str, 3 day	psi	250	3658	284.5	7.78	3540	241.9	6.83		
Comp Str, 3 day	psi	* 246	3653	215.7	5.90	3554	215.3	6.06		
Comp Str, 7 day	psi	250	4697	342.0	7.28	4402	297.9	6.77		
Comp Str, 7 day	psi	* 246	4692	284.8	6.07	4417	261.6	5.92		
Comp Str, 28 day	psi	221	6161	414.4	6.73	5768	400.4	6.94		
Comp Str, 28 day	psi	* 218	6186	352.5	5.70	5787	363.0	6.27		
Comp Str, Flow	prcnt	226	120	10.1	8.41	121	9.8	8.08		
Comp Str, Flow	prent	* 222	120	9.1	7.55	122	8.7	7.18		
CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE										

### \* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Air Content	35 44 1079 1819 2435 2491
Air Content, Mix Water	95 691 1936 2351 35 51 1956 2484 2491
Air Content, Flow	932 2484 440 1251
Comp Strength, 3 day	9 33 440 1819
Comp Strength, 7 day	9 33 360 1819
Comp Strength, 14 day	9 33 823
Comp Strength, Flow	94 161 2305 3133

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162 Final Report - Physical Results October 11, 2006

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

			Sample	e No. 161	Sample No. 162					
Test		#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.		
Fineness, AP	cm <sup>2</sup> /g	249	3691	115.8	3.14	3744	140.8	3.76		
Fineness, AP	cm <sup>2</sup> /g	* 243	3686	91.9	2.49	3740	95.4	2.55		
Fineness, WT	cm <sup>2</sup> /g	14	1962	79.1	4.03	2018	82.1	4.07		
45µm Sieve	prent	230	93.34	1.10	1.177	96.37	0.71	0.740		
45µm Sieve	prcnt	* 225	93.37	0.92	0.986	96.40	0.63	0.658		
C1038 Expansion	l									
Mortar Expansion	prcnt	139	0.005	0.0094	174	0.006	0.0062	110		
Mortar Expansion	prcnt	* 128	0.005	0.0034	69.9	0.005	0.0036	76.1		
Mortar Water	prcnt	136	237	13.2	5.56	237	13.2	5.59		
Mortar Water	prent	* 133	237	5.6	2.38	236	5.8	2.44		
Mortar Flow	prent	135	111	4.8	4.28	111	5.0	4.54		
Mortar Flow	prent	* 128	111	2.6	2.35	110	2.7	2.47		

\* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Fineness, Air Permeability	7 15 28 33 51 691
Fineness, 45µm sieve	125 431 458 493 2468

### C1038 Expansion

Mortar Bar Expansion	36 96 139 159 207 1054 54 92 375 438 11	90
Mortar Water	207 493 932	
Mortar Flow	440 883 1936 416 2351 3125 3126	

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Normal Consistency - % Water PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



LABS ELIMINATED 33 43 25 52 74 168 440 2144 2477



# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Vicat Time of Set - Initial PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 265 375 458 1956



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Vicat Time of Set - Final

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Gillmore Time of Set - Initial PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162







LABS ELIMINATED 14 2484

, LABS OFF DIAGRAM 252





SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 78.38 S.D. 8.3 C.V. 10.54

LABS ELIMINATED 15 43

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Autoclave Expansion PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



LABS ELIMINATED 60 252 502 691 1853 93 146 222 458 1054 1379 2466 2477 2482

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 10 162 205 1466







SAMPLE NO. 161 AVE 68.58 S.D. 2.2 C.V. 3.23 SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 67.88 S.D. 2.2 C.V. 3.27 LABS ELIMINATED 95 691 1936 2351 35 51 1956 2484 2491 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 1079 2305 2468

-

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Air Content - Flow PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



LABS ELIMINATED 932 2484 440 1251

.







 SAMPLE NO. 161
 AVE
 4692.2
 S.D.
 284.8
 C.V.
 6.07

 SAMPLE NO. 162
 AVE
 4416.7
 S.D.
 261.6
 C.V.
 5.92

 LABS ELIMINATED
 9
 33
 360
 1819

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Compressive Strength - 28 day PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



 SAMPLE NO. 161
 AVE
 6185.9
 S.D.
 352.5
 C.V.
 5.70

 SAMPLE NO. 162
 AVE
 5787.2
 S.D.
 363.0
 C.V.
 6.27

 LABS ELIMINATED
 9
 33
 823
 6
 6
 6





TEST NO.230 Compressive Strength, Flow 222 POINTS SAMPLE NO. 161 AVE 120.43 S.D. 9.1 C.V. 7.55 SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 121.78 S.D. 8.7 C.V. 7.18 LABS ELIMINATED 94 161 2305 3133



LABS ELIMINATED 7 15 28 33 51 691

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 2477 2938





1 C.V. 4.07





TEST NO.281 Fineness, 45-micron Sieve 222 POINTS

 SAMPLE NO. 161
 AVE
 93.367
 S.D.
 0.92
 C.V.
 0.986

 SAMPLE NO. 162
 AVE
 96.402
 S.D.
 0.63
 C.V.
 0.658

 LABS ELIMINATED
 125
 431
 458
 493
 2468

 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 40
 441
 1657

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM C1038 Mortar Bar Expansion PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



SAMPLE NO. 161AVE0.00487S.D.0.0034C.V.69.9SAMPLE NO. 162AVE0.00470S.D.0.0036C.V.76.1LABS ELIMINATED36 96 139 159 207 1054 54 92 375 438 1190



SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 236.07 S.D. 5.8 C.V. 2.44

LABS ELIMINATED 207 493 932

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM C1038 Mortar - Water PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM C1038 Mortar - Flow PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162



LABS ELIMINATED 440 883 1936 416 2351 3125 3126

## CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 161 and No. 162 Final Report - Heat of Hydration Results October 11, 2006

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

	Sample No. 161				Sample No. 162		
Test	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
Heat Solution, Dry Heat Solution, Dry	cal/g 26 cal/g * 24	593.5 593.9	5.3 4.8	0.890 0.809	592.6 593.3	9.6 4.3	1.628 0.730
Heat Sol, 7 day Heat Sol, 7 day	cal/g 26 cal/g 25	514.8 515.6	7.4 6.6	1.43 1.27	512.9 514.0	8.5 6.7	1.66 1.30
Heat Sol, 28 day	cal/g 20	504.0	5.0	1.000	504.9	5.0	1.000
Heat Hyd, 7 day	cal/g 27	79.0	5.9	7.50	79.8	6.6	8.32
Heat Hyd, 28 day	cal/g 21	91.2	6.0	6.53	90.6	4.2	4.64

### \* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Heat of Solution, Dry1392435Heat of Solution, 7 day2435



SAMPLE NO. 162 AVE 593.26 S.D. 4.3 C.V. 0.730

LABS ELIMINATED 139 2435

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Heat of Solution - Dry Cement PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162





**CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM** 

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Heat of Hydration - 7-day PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 161 & NO. 162





CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

SAMPLE NO. 162 S.D. 4.2 C.V. 4.64 AVE 90.58