CEMENT AND CONCRETE REFERENCE LABORATORY PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

Final Report Portland Cement Proficiency Samples Number 167 and Number 168

March 2008



www.ccrl.us



March 28, 2008

To: Participants in the CCRL Portland Cement Proficiency Sample Program

SUBJECT: Final Report on Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168

Following is the final report for the current pair of CCRL **Portland Cement** Proficiency Samples which were distributed in January 2008. Portland Cement Sample No. 167 was an ASTM C150 Type I and No. 168 was an ASTM C150 Type III.

This report consists of a statistical Summary of Results, a set of general Scatter Diagrams, and associated detailed information. The Table of Results with individualized information for participating laboratories can be downloaded at our website located at: <u>http://ccrl.us/</u>. Additional information is provided in the following pages.

The CCRL Proficiency Sample Programs are intended for internal use by the laboratory as a tool to identify potential problems in laboratory procedures or test equipment and to initiate remedial actions. These programs are designed to complement the CCRL Laboratory Inspection Program as part of a total quality system. Care should be taken when using this program for any other purpose.

Additional samples of these two cements and other CCRL samples are available for purchase. These samples may be useful for equipment verification, technician training, and research. Contact CCRL for availability and price.

It is presently anticipated that the next Portland Cement Proficiency Samples will be distributed in June 2008.

Sincerely,

Polin K. Haupt

Robin K. Haupt Supervisor, Proficiency Sample Programs Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

To: Participants in the CCRL Portland Cement Proficiency Sample Program

FROM: Robin K. Haupt, Supervisor, PSP

SUBJECT: Explanation of Final Report on Results of Tests for Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168

This letter, and the material included with it, constitute the final report, and summary of results for the current pair of Portland Cement Proficiency Samples, which were distributed in January 2008. This material includes a Table of Results for individual laboratory data, a statistical Summary of Results, and a set of general Scatter Diagrams. Your unique laboratory number is displayed at the top of the individual Table of Results.

An explanation of the program is contained in the paper: "Statistical Evaluation of Interlaboratory Cement Tests" by J. R. Crandall and R. L. Blaine <u>View document</u>, and "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program" by W.J. Youden <u>View document</u>, which can be found in Volume 59, Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

Each laboratory receives an individualized Table of Results. The Table of Results shows the, test title, and the reporting unit in the first two columns. After that it lists in order, the laboratory's results for the odd and even numbered samples, overall averages for the odd and even numbered samples, and the laboratory's ratings for the odd and even samples.

Laboratory ratings, shown in the Table of Results for the individual laboratory, were determined in the manner described by Crandall and Blaine using a rating scale of 1 to 5 instead of 0 to 4. The ratings have no valid standing beyond showing the difference between the individual laboratory result and the average for a particular test.

The following table details the relationship between the ratings and the averages.

Ratings	Range (Number of Standard Deviations)	Number (Per 100) of Laboratories achieving the rating		
5	Less than 1	69		
4	1 to 1.5	18		
3	1.5 to 2	9		
2	2 to 2.5	3		
1	Greater than 2.5	1		

The sign of the rating merely shows whether the result reported was greater or less than the average obtained.

Participants subscribing to the primary chemical analysis portion of this report should note that the statistics were calculated using data obtained by wet methods, and rapid methods of chemical analysis. Participants in the secondary chemical analysis should note that laboratory ratings are assigned using primary chemical statistics.

Please note that individual laboratory ratings were not given for the flow of air content mortar (test no. 190) and compressive strength mortar (test no. 230). Air content flows in the range of 87.5 ± 7.5 are satisfactory,

¹Youden, W.J., "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program", Volume 59, *Proceedings of the* 62nd Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

labs with flow values outside this range will be flagged as a "Labs Eliminated" or "Labs Off Diagram" on the scatter diagram. Averages, standard deviations, and a scatter diagram are provided for your information. This information may be a helpful indicator of a problem with flow table apparatus or mortar mixing procedures. Flow values of 151 were assigned to laboratories reporting a mortar flow off the flow table top.

In cases where some laboratories' results are eliminated, averages, standard deviations, coefficients of variation, and the ratings of the other laboratories' results, are recalculated using the data remaining after the elimination. Since the laboratory ratings given are the results from this one series of tests, you need not attach too much significance to a single low rating, or pair of ratings, from this one series. A continuing tendency to get low ratings on several pairs of samples should lead a laboratory to consider the types of error, systematic and random, contribute to ratings that are low. Systematic error, which is indicated by low ratings with the same signs on each pair of samples, means a consistent error is occurring in equipment and/or test procedures. One indication of random error is low ratings on both samples with different signs. Since systematic error occurs with more regularity, its cause is generally easier to find than the cause of random error.

Summary of Results

Usually, averages, standard deviations, and coefficients of variation are given with all results reported, and then with one or more outlying results omitted. Sometimes, two or more recalculations with laboratories omitted, have been done for the same test. In these cases, all of the laboratories omitted in previous recalculations are also omitted in subsequent ones. Results omitted are values that are more than three standard deviations from the mean of one or both samples. Often, elimination of these outlying results has little effect on the average, but may have a more pronounced effect on the standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

Scatter Diagrams

General scatter diagrams are supplied with this report. Crandall and Blaine describe the manner of preparing scatter diagrams, and their interpretation, in the paper published in the 1959 ASTM Proceedings. Each laboratory will receive a complete set of diagrams according to their subscription to the given program.

Using the results received from each laboratory, a scatter diagram is generated for each test method by plotting the value for the odd numbered samples on the *X*, or horizontal axis, against the value for the even numbered samples on the *Y*, or vertical axis. To find your point, just plot as you would when plotting any scatter diagram. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines, which divide the diagrams into four sections or quadrants, place the average values for the odd and even numbered samples, respectively. The first line of print under the diagram includes the test number, as given on the data sheet, the test title, and the number of data points on the diagrams. The number of plotted points may not agree with the total number of data pairs included in the analysis because a few points may be off the diagram, and some points may represent several data pairs, which are identical. Laboratories whose points are off the diagram will have a rating of ± 1 for that particular test. As described in Crandall and Blaine, a tight circular pattern of points around the intersection of the median lines is the ideal situation. Stretching out of the pattern into the first (upper right) and third (lower left) quadrants, suggests some kind of bias, or tendency for laboratories to get high or low results on both samples. Examination of the scatter diagrams indicates strong evidence of bias on many tests.

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168 Final Report - Primary Chemical Results March 28, 2008

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Sample No. 167 Sample No. 168 Test C.V. #Labs S.D. C.V. S.D. Average Average Silicon Dioxide 19.91 prcnt 250 19.30 0.30 1.57 0.33 1.64 Silicon Dioxide prcnt * 237 19.29 19.91 0.934 0.22 1.144 0.18 Aluminum Oxide 5.92 0.36 6.09 5.11 0.25 4.91 prcnt 248 Aluminum Oxide prcnt * 235 5.92 0.13 2.25 5.11 0.10 1.96 Ferric Oxide prcnt 2.44 0.063 2.57 2.15 0.093 4.35 252 Ferric Oxide 2.14 prcnt * 242 2.44 0.049 2.01 0.046 2.17 Calcium Oxide prcnt 249 61.65 0.49 0.794 62.27 0.56 0.905 Calcium Oxide 0.42 62.28 0.47 0.752 prcnt * 242 61.64 0.676 Magnesium Oxide prcnt 252 3.11 0.10 3.31 3.87 0.14 3.76 Magnesium Oxide prcnt * 247 3.11 0.093 2.98 3.88 0.106 2.72 Sulfur Trioxide 0.21 4.44 257 4.38 4.86 3.49 0.15 prcnt Sulfur Trioxide 4.39 0.134 prcnt * 243 3.05 3.48 0.093 2.66 0.14 25.5 Loss on Ignition prcnt 254 0.86 16.6 0.89 0.22 Loss on Ignition 0.076 0.076 8.77 prcnt * 243 0.84 9.08 0.86 Sodium Oxide prcnt 0.063 17.9 0.225 0.056 24.8 238 0.351 Sodium Oxide prcnt * 228 0.355 0.050 14.0 0.226 0.043 19.0

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Silicon Dioxide	24 39 51 206 932 2463 18 52 222 691 2437 3124 3125
Aluminum Oxide	30 201 222 24 208 247 690 694 768 975 1644 2463 3297
Ferric Oxide	2 222 3059 143 768 1936 2466 3057 3125 3250
Calcium Oxide	24 30 39 42 504 1251 2621
Magnesium Oxide	201 222 492 694 2463
Sulfur Trioxide	95 161 167 1196 1940 73 159 222 305 1483 2437 2464 3009 3297
Loss on Ignition	95 125 137 1524 92 121 221 2305 3125 3235 3297
Sodium Oxide	30 2463 2464 289 504 2466 3125 3235 3249 3279

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168 Final Report - Primary Chemical Results March 21, 2008

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

		Sample	e No. 167	Sample No. 168			
Test	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
Potassium Oxide	prent 241	1.070	0.046	4.29	1.220	0.083	6.79
Potassium Oxide	prent * 231	1.068	0.030	2.80	1.227	0.034	2.77
Titanium Dioxide	prent 191	0.24	0.024	10.1	0.20	0.024	11.9
Titanium Dioxide	prent * 179	0.24	0.0092	3.85	0.20	0.0090	4.46
Phosphorous Pent	prent 177	0.24	0.046	18.9	0.20	0.088	43.5
Phosphorous Pent	prent * 160	0.24	0.0119	4.94	0.19	0.0096	5.01
Zinc Oxide	prent 78	0.014	0.0107	75.5	0.015	0.0097	65.7
Zinc Oxide	prent * 75	0.013	0.0034	26.2	0.014	0.0031	23.0
Manganic Oxide	prent 132	0.096	0.018	18.5	0.089	0.014	15.7
Manganic Oxide	prent * 124	0.098	0.0043	4.40	0.089	0.0036	4.03
Chloride	prent 101	$0.007 \\ 0.006$	0.0053	76.7	0.005	0.0046	101.9
Chloride	prent * 96		0.0036	57.9	0.004	0.0035	84.0
Insoluble Residue	prent 240	0.29	0.14	50.0	0.36	0.21	58.3
Insoluble Residue	prent * 228	0.27	0.084	31.6	0.33	0.096	29.3
Free Calcium Oxid	prcnt 199	0.43	0.24	56.2	0.71	0.27	38.2
Free Calcium Oxid	prcnt * 197	0.43	0.23	54.3	0.70	0.24	34.3

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Potassium Oxide	94 222 1940 3009 3233 95 1025 1196 3234 3297
Titan Dioxide	492 504 1644 2251 18 56 129 176 696 2305 2477 2621
Phosphorous Pentoxide	504 2295 2305 2463 166 684 2465 2483 2484 2490 125 181 201 493 883 1053 3279
Zinc Oxide	30 38 2491
Manganic Oxide	38 696 2484 697 2462 2463 2491 2522
Chloride	166 246 208 289 1466
Insoluble Residue	143 1196 1379 2491 36 127 221 694 1251 1956 2477 3297
Free Calcium Oxide	3235 3297

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168 Final Report - Primary Chemical Results March 21, 2008

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

	Sample No. 167				Sample No. 168			
Test	#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.	
Carbon Dioxide	Not Determined	l for these Sa	mples - Cen	nents did N	ot Contain Lin	nestone Addit	tions	
Limestone	Not Determined	d for these Sa	amples - Cer	nents did N	ot Contain Lin	nestone Addit	tions	
Chromium Oxide	prent 84	0.012	$0.0060 \\ 0.0040$	47.8	0.012	0.0053	45.9	
Chromium Oxide	prent * 80	0.012		34.4	0.011	0.0036	34.3	
Tricalcium Silicate	prent 211	48.8	4.0	8.22	54.7	3.9	7.21	
Tricalcium Silicate	prent * 205	48.7	3.1	6.32	54.8	3.2	5.90	
Dicalcium Silicate	prent 211	18.5	3.6	19.4	15.8	3.4	21.4	
Dicalcium Silicate	prent * 199	18.6	2.6	14.2	15.8	2.4	15.4	
Tricalc Aluminate	prent 215	11.5	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.40\end{array}$	8.66	9.9	0.69	6.93	
Tricalc Aluminate	prent * 207	11.5		3.48	9.9	0.31	3.16	
Tetracalc Alumino	prent 213	7.4	0.19	2.58	6.5	0.28	4.29	
Tetracalc Alumino	prent * 208	7.4	0.16	2.18	6.5	0.16	2.50	

Chromium Oxide	36 408 870 2295
Tricalcium Silicate	30 206 1054 1196 2305 2477
Dicalcium Silicate	$24 \ \ 30 \ \ 206 \ \ 1196 \ \ 8 \ \ 42 \ \ 407 \ \ 694 \ \ 1053 \ \ 1054 \ \ 1483 \ \ 2463$
Tricalcium Aluminate	30 201 208 694 883 1644 2295 2463
Tetracalcium Aluminoferite	96 125 883 2466 3125



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 19.909 S.D. 0.18 C.V. 0.934 LABS ELIMINATED 24 39 51 206 932 2463 18 52 222 691 2437 3124 3125 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 2253





SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 5.9162 S.D. 0.13 C.V. 2.25
SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 5.1075 S.D. 0.10 C.V. 1.96
LABS ELIMINATED 30 201 222 24 208 247 690 694 768 975 1644 2463 3297

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Ferric Oxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168







SAMPLE NO. 167AVE61.643S.D.0.42C.V.0.676SAMPLE NO. 168AVE62.279S.D.0.47C.V.0.752LABS ELIMINATED24 30 39 42 504 1251 2621





 SAMPLE NO. 167
 AVE
 3.1125
 S.D.
 0.093
 C.V.
 2.98

 SAMPLE NO. 168
 AVE
 3.8802
 S.D.
 0.106
 C.V.
 2.72

 LABS ELIMINATED
 201
 222
 492
 694
 2463

 LABS OFF
 DIAGRAM
 206
 305
 918
 2491





LABS OFF DIAGRAM 36 492 2491





SAMPLE NO. 167AVE0.8425S.D.0.076C.V.9.08SAMPLE NO. 168AVE0.8623S.D.0.076C.V.8.77LABS ELIMINATED951251371524921212212305312532353297



LABS ELIMINATED 30 2463 2464 289 504 2466 3125 3235 3249 3279

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Sodium Oxide

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Potassium Oxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS OFF DIAGRAM 207 2934

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Titanium Dioxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS ELIMINATED 492 504 1644 2251 18 56 129 176 696 2305 2477 2621





TEST NO.102 Phosphorous Pentoxide 160 POINTS

SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 0.24039 S.D. 0.0119 C.V. 4.94
SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 0.19096 S.D. 0.0096 C.V. 5.01
LABS ELIMINATED 504 2295 2305 2463 166 684 2465 2483 2484 2490 125 181 201 493 883 1053 3279



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 3057





SAMPLE NO. 167AVE0.09790S.D.0.0043C.V.4.40SAMPLE NO. 168AVE0.08942S.D.0.0036C.V.4.03LABS ELIMINATED38 696 2484 697 2462 2463 2491 2522





 SAMPLE NO. 168
 AVE
 0.08942
 S.D.
 0.0036
 C.V.
 4.03

 LABS ELIMINATED
 38 696 2484 697 2462 2463 2491 2522



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 0.00014 S.D. 0.0036 C.V. 57.9 LABS ELIMINATED 166 246 208 289 1466





SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 0.2671 S.D. 0.084 C.V. 31.6
SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 0.3285 S.D. 0.096 C.V. 29.3
LABS ELIMINATED 143 1196 1379 2491 36 127 221 694 1251 1956 2477 3297



LABS ELIMINATED 3235 3297

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Free Calcium Oxide

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Chromium Oxide PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS ELIMINATED 36 408 870 2295





SAMPLE NO. 168AVE54.78S.D.3.2C.V.5.90LABS ELIMINATED30 206 1054 1196 2305 2477

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 24 42 694 1483 2463





SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 18.58 S.D. 2.6 C.V. 14.2 SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 15.78 S.D. 2.4 C.V. 15.4 LABS ELIMINATED 24 30 206 1196 8 42 407 694 1053 1054 1483 2463 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 695 2477 3124 3125

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Tricalcium Aluminate PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Tetracalcium Aluminoferrite PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168 Final Report - Physical Results March 28, 2008

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Sample No. 167 Sample No. 168 Test C.V. C.V. #Labs Average S.D. Average S.D. N.C. Water 270 31.5 27.9 1.4 4.92 prcnt 1.9 6.13 N.C. Water prcnt * 257 31.6 0.98 3.10 27.9 0.54 1.95 Vicat TS Initial 265 142 20.2 14.2 129 18.8 14.6 min Vicat TS Initial min * 257 142 17.5 12.4 128 13.4 10.5 Vicat TS Final min 255 257 44.4 17.3 240 40.5 16.9 Vicat TS Final min * 251 39.2 36.9 257 15.3 241 15.3 Gillmore TS Initial min 169 181 28.3 15.6 169 23.4 13.8 297 42.4 39.2 Gillmore TS Final min 168 14.3 280 14.0 Gillmore TS Final min * 164 298 38.5 12.9 280 36.3 13.0 False Set prcnt 203 66 15.5 23.4 78 13.0 16.7 False Set 14.1 78 11.3 prcnt * 198 67 21.0 14.5 Autoclave Expan prcnt 251 0.10 0.071 71.0 0.18 0.090 48.5 0.10 Autoclave Expan prcnt * 242 0.038 38.9 0.19 0.068 35.3 CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Normal Consistency	$180 \ 768 \ 2477 \ 3250 \ 10 \ 21 \ 127 \ 551 \ 2292 \ 2295 \ 2412 \ 3236 \ 3276$
Vicat TS Initial	2 3 47 207 360 768 1483 2466
Vicat TS Final	2 165 252 2466
Gillmore TS Final	45 176 2295 2982
False Set Paste Method	90 152 360 1483 1715
Autoclave Expansion	26 252 823 2477 870 2295 3234 3276 3297

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168 Final Report - Physical Results March 21, 2008

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Sample No. 167 Sample No. 168 Test C.V. C.V. #Labs Average S.D. Average S.D. Air Content 7.4 21.3 7.1 1.6 22.5 prcnt 240 1.6 Air Content prcnt * 235 7.3 0.99 13.6 7.1 1.14 16.1 AC Mix Water prcnt 236 73.0 4.8 6.58 71.1 4.9 6.84 AC Mix Water prcnt * 226 73.4 2.4 3.35 71.6 2.4 3.34 AC Flow prcnt 237 85 3.9 4.61 86 3.9 4.55 prcnt * 232 AC Flow 85 3.6 86 3.3 3.88 4.18 Comp Str, 3 day psi 275 5068 431.5 8.51 4160 358.1 8.61 Comp Str, 3 day 5109 335.1 6.56 4189 276.2 6.59 psi * 266 Comp Str, 7 day 270 5788 439.2 7.59 4891 369.7 7.56 psi Comp Str, 7 day psi * 262 5812 375.2 6.46 4906 294.1 5.99 Comp Str, 28 day 487.3 7.36 406.9 7.09 psi 242 6618 5737 psi * 239 390.9 Comp Str, 28 day 6642 441.2 6.64 5751 6.80 89 12.9 12.0 Comp Str, Flow 230 11.4 98 11.7 prcnt CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Air Content	768 47 1435 2491 3279
Air Content Mix Water	75 178 1379 2295 17 95 768 918 2491 3279
Air Content Flow	1379 3126 95 3279 96
Comp Strength, 3 day	10 30 95 2 5 46 48 2330 3059
Comp Strength, 7 day	30 48 51 691 10 1525 2330 3059
Comp Strength, 28 day	23 30 2330

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 168 Final Report - Physical Results March 21, 2008

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

			Sample No. 167			Sample No. 168			
Test		#Labs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.	
Fineness									
Air Permeability	cm ² /g	268	5201	374.0	7.19	4076	199.9	4.90	
Air Permeability	cm^2/g	* 248	5237	192.1	3.67	4083	96.6	2.37	
Wagner Turbidim	cm ² /g	15	2691	174.7	6.49	2175	119.6	5.50	
45µm Sieve	prcnt	248	97.07	1.3	1.30	93.55	1.5	1.64	
45µm Sieve	prent	* 234	97.22	0.56	0.578	93.61	1.04	1.114	
C1038 Mortar Ba	r Expa	nsion							
Mortar Expansion	prent	147	0.010	0.035	338	0.012	0.036	309	
Mortar Expansion	prent	* 129	0.007	0.0039	55.8	0.007	0.0036	50.8	
Mortar Water	prcnt	141	260	17.8	6.84	254	20.8	8.16	
Mortar Water	prent	* 137	258	9.0	3.47	251	8.4	3.34	
Mortar Flow	prcnt	137	108	6.2	5.82	109	4.4	4.02	
Mortar Flow	prent	* 128	109	2.8	2.53	109	2.6	2.35	

* ELIMINATED LABS: Data over three S.D. from the mean

Fineness Air Permeability 2 10 21 42 47 51 157 169 3235 36 48 49 92 221 416 687 698 1379 1799 3276 45µm Sieve 47 52 169 413 779 1644 1726 40 130 175 246 698 1053 2491

C1038 Expansion

C1038 Mortar Bar Exp	246 73 90 493 1466 2296 3059 15 121 125 139 181 1054 1251 2462 36 1190 3234
C1038 Mortar - Water	440 2363 3059 3126
C1038 Mortar - Flow	243 416 611 3126 8 3250 90 208 996 1936

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Normal Consistency - % Water PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS ELIMINATED 180 768 2477 3250 10 21 127 551 2292 2295 2412 3236 3276

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Vicat Time of Set - Initial PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS ELIMINATED 2 3 47 207 360 768 1483 2466





SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 257.0 S.D. 39.2 C:V. 15.3 SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 240.8 S.D. 36.9 C.V. 15.3 LABS ELIMINATED 2 165 252 2466 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 207





LABS OFF DIAGRAM 64





TEST NO.140 Gillmore Time of Set - Final 164 POINTS

 SAMPLE NO. 167
 AVE
 297.9
 S.D.
 38.5
 C.V.
 12.9

 SAMPLE NO. 168
 AVE
 279.7
 S.D.
 36.3
 C.V.
 13.0

 LABS ELIMINATED
 45 176 2295 2982
 2982
 2982
 2982
 2882

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM False Set - Paste Method PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 78.39 S.D. 11.3 C.V. 14.5 LABS ELIMINATED 90 152 360 1483 1715





LABS ELIMINATED 26 252 823 2477 870 2295 3234 3276 3297

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Air Content PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS OFF DIAGRAM 17 1956



ST NO.180 Air Content - % Water 224 POINTS SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 73.37 S.D. 2.4 C.V. 3.35 SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 71.62 S.D. 2.4 C.V. 3.34 LABS ELIMINATED 75 178 1379 2295 17 95 768 918 2491 3279 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 1251 1956

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Air Content - % Water PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Air Content - Flow PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS ELIMINATED 1379 3126 95 3279 96





SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 5109.0 S.D. 335.1 C.V. 6.56 SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 4188.9 S.D. 276.2 C.V. 6.59 LABS ELIMINATED 10 30 95 2 5 46 48 2330 3059 LABS OFF DIAGRAM 1525 3287





LABS ELIMINATED 30 48 51 691 10 1525 2330 3059





 SAMPLE NO. 167
 AVE
 6638.2
 S.D.
 443.3
 C.V.
 6.68

 SAMPLE NO. 168
 AVE
 5747.8
 S.D.
 392.8
 C.V.
 6.83

 LABS ELIMINATED
 23 30 2330
 2330
 2330
 2330
 2330





AVE 97.53

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Fineness - Air Permeability PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 5236.8 S.D. 192.1 C.V. 3.67
SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 4082.7 S.D. 96.6 C.V. 2.37
LABS ELIMINATED 2 10 21 42 47 51 157 169 3235 36 48 49 92 221 416 687 698 1379 1799 3276
LABS OFF DIAGRAM 14 41 50 2938

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Fineness - Wagner Turbidimeter PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168





CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM

94.00 94.50 95.00 95.50 96.00 96.50 97.00 97.50 98.00 98.50 99.00 99.50 100.00 SAMPLE NO. 167 : Fineness - 45-micron Sieve percent

TEST NO.281 Fineness - 45-micron Sieve 230 POINTS

SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 97.218 S.D. 0.56 C.V. 0.578
SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 93.611 S.D. 1.04 C.V. 1.114
LABS ELIMINATED 47 52 169 413 779 1644 1726 40 130 175 246 698 1053 2491

LABS OFF DIAGRAM 49 125 206 2295

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM C1038 Mortar Bar Expansion PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



TEST NO.400 C1038 Mortar Bar Expansion 129 POINTS

SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 0.00692 S.D. 0.0039 C.V. 55.8
SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 0.00716 S.D. 0.0036 C.V. 50.8
LABS ELIMINATED 246 73 90 493 1466 2296 3059 15 121 125 139 181 1054 1251 2462 36 1190 3234





 SAMPLE NO. 167
 AVE
 258.15
 S.D.
 9.0
 C.V.
 3.47

 SAMPLE NO. 168
 AVE
 251.26
 S.D.
 8.4
 C.V.
 3.34

 LABS ELIMINATED
 440
 2363
 3059
 3126

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM C1038 Mortar - Flow PORTLAND CEMENT SAMPLES NO. 167 & NO. 168



LABS ELIMINATED 243 416 611 3126 8 3250 90 208 996 1936

CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM Portland Cement Proficiency Samples No. 167 and No. 166 Final Report - Heat of Hydration Results March 28, 2008

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

				Sample	No. 167		Sample No. 168		
Test		#L	abs	Average	S.D.	C.V.	Average	S.D.	C.V.
Heat Solution, Dry	/ cal/g		24	593.0	12.4	2.10	597.8	21.5	3.60
Heat Solution, Dry	v cal/g	*	22	592.6	10.9	1.83	599.2	7.7	1.28
Heat Sol, 7 day	cal/g		24	511.0	15.3	3.00	518.5	21.5	4.14
Heat Sol, 7 day	cal/g	*	21	507.2	7.4	1.46	520.6	11.2	2.15
Heat Sol, 28 day	cal/g		17	503.1	17.0	3.38	514.0	14.2	2.76
Heat Sol, 28 day	cal/g	*	16	500.1	11.9	2.38	511.2	8.5	1.66
Heat Hyd, 7 day	cal/g		25	82.4	9.3	11.34	79.9	7.6	9.58
Heat Hyd, 7 day	cal/g	*	23	83.7	7.4	8.80	80.9	5.5	6.82
Heat Hyd, 28 day	cal/g		18	92.6	8.6	9.28	89.0	4.5	5.08

Heat of Solution, Dry	1644 3057
Heat of Solution, 7 day	1644 2254 3057
Heat of Solution, 28 day	3057
Heat of Hydration, 7 day	176 2254



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM





SAMPLE NO. 167 AVE 507.2 S.D. 7.4 C.V. 1.46 SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 520.6 S.D. 11.2 C.V. 2.15 LABS ELIMINATED 1644 2254 3057



CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM





SAMPLE NO. 168 AVE 80.9 S.D. 5.5 C LABS ELIMINATED 176 2254



