# Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory Proficiency Sample Program 

Final Report Pozzolan Proficiency Samples Number 55 and Number 56
$\square$

October 7, 2014

To: Participants in the CCRL Pozzolan Proficiency Sample Program

## Subject: Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 55 and No. 56

Following is the final report for the pair of CCRL Pozzolan Proficiency Samples which were distributed in July 2014. Both samples were a Class C fly ash.

This report consists of two parts and each part must be downloaded from our website located at: http://www.ccrl.us/. One part contains general information that consists of a statistical Summary of Results, a set of Scatter Diagrams, and other associated information. The second part is laboratory specific information that consists of the Table of Results containing test results and ratings for your laboratory.

Note: Laboratory ratings for "Effectiveness of Mineral Admixture in Controlling Alkali Silica-Reactions" were not assigned for this pair of samples. Due to the properties of the portland cement supplied the expansion of the control mix was very low. Average of the reported expansions for the control mix was around 0.032 ".

The CCRL Proficiency Sample Programs are intended for internal use by the laboratory as a tool to identify potential problems in laboratory procedures or test equipment and to initiate remedial actions. These programs are designed to complement the CCRL Laboratory Inspection Program as part of a total quality system. Care should be taken when using this program for any other purpose.

Additional samples of these two samples and other CCRL samples are available for purchase. These samples may be useful for equipment verification, technician training, and research. Contact CCRL for availability and price.

It is presently anticipated that the next Pozzolan Proficiency Samples will be distributed in July 2015.

Sincerely,


Robin K. Haupt
Supervisor, Proficiency Sample Programs
Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

## To: Participants in the CCRL Pozzolan Proficiency Sample Program

## From: Robin K. Haupt, Supervisor, PSP

## Subject: Explanation of Final Report on Results of Tests on Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 55 and No. 56

This memo and the material included with it constitute the final report and summary of results for the current pair of Pozzolan Proficiency Samples, which were distributed in July 2014. This material includes a Table of Results for individual laboratory data, a statistical Summary of Results, and a set of Scatter Diagrams. Your unique laboratory number is displayed at the top of the individual Table of Results.

An explanation of the program is contained in the paper: "Statistical Evaluation of Interlaboratory Cement Tests" by J. R. Crandall and R. L. Blaine View Document, and "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program" by W.J. Youden View Document, which can be found in Volume 59, Proceedings of the $62^{\text {nd }}$ Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

## Laboratory Ratings

Each laboratory receives an individualized Laboratory Ratings. Each line of the ratings shows the test title and the reporting unit in the first two columns. After that it lists in order, the laboratory's results for the odd and even numbered samples, overall averages for the odd and even numbered samples, and the laboratory's ratings for the odd and even samples.

The ratings for the individual laboratory were determined in the manner described by Crandall and Blaine using a rating scale of 1 to 5 instead of 0 to 4 . The ratings have no valid standing beyond showing the difference between the individual laboratory result and the average for a particular test. Laboratory Ratings are calculated using the unrounded values for average and standard deviation.

The following table details the relationship between the ratings and the averages.

| Ratings | Range (Number of <br> Standard Deviations) |
| :--- | :--- |

5

4
3
2
1

## Range (Number of

Less than 1
1 to 1.5

Number (Per 100)
of Laboratories achieving the rating ${ }^{1}$

The sign of the rating merely shows whether the result reported was greater or less than the average obtained. In cases where some laboratories' results are eliminated, averages, standard deviations, coefficients of variation, and the ratings of the other laboratories' results, are recalculated using the data remaining after the elimination. Since the laboratory ratings given are the results from this one series of tests, you need not attach too much significance to a single low rating, or pair of ratings, from this one series. A continuing tendency to get low ratings on several pairs of samples should lead a laboratory to consider the types of error, systematic and random, contribute to ratings that are low. Systematic error, which is indicated by low ratings with the same signs on each pair of samples, means a consistent error is occurring in equipment and/or test procedures. One indication of random error is low ratings on both

[^0]samples with different signs.. Since systematic error occurs with more regularity, its cause is generally easier to find than the cause of random error.

## Summary of Results

The Summary of Results provide the statistical summary for each test. Each line lists the test, the number of participants represented, the averages, standard deviations and coefficients of variations. When necessary the data from the test is represented in two lines, one line with all results reported, and then a second line with outlying results omitted. Sometimes two or more recalculations are required to eliminate all outliers from the test. In these cases, all of the laboratories omitted in previous recalculations are also omitted in subsequent ones. Results omitted are values that are more than three standard deviations from the mean of one or both samples. Elimination of these outlying results may little effect on the average, but may have a more pronounced effect on the standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

## Scatter Diagrams

General scatter diagrams are supplied with this report. Crandall and Blaine describe the manner of preparing scatter diagrams, and their interpretation, in the paper published in the 1959 ASTM Proceedings.

Using the results received from each laboratory, a scatter diagram is generated for each test method by plotting the value for the odd numbered samples on the $X$, or horizontal axis, against the value for the even numbered samples on the $Y$, or vertical axis. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines, which divide the diagrams into four sections or quadrants, place the average values for the odd and even numbered samples, respectively. The first line of print under the diagram includes the test number, as given on the data sheet, the test title, and the number of data points on the diagrams. The number of plotted points may not agree with the total number of data pairs included in the analysis because a few points may be off the diagram, and some points may represent several data pairs, which are identical. Laboratories whose points are off the diagram will have a rating of $\pm 1$ for that particular test.

As described in Crandall and Blaine, a tight circular pattern of points around the intersection of the median lines is the ideal situation. Stretching out of the pattern into the first (upper right) and third (lower left) quadrants, suggests some kind of bias, or tendency for laboratories to get high or low results on both samples. Examination of the scatter diagrams indicates strong evidence of bias on many tests.
Sample No. $55 \quad$ Sample No. 56

| Test (unit) | \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Moisture Content (percent)

| 66 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 142 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 108 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 64$ | 0.06 | 0.04 | 58 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 45 |

* Labs Eliminated - 2, 958


## Silicon Dioxide (percent)

| 59 | 35.10 | 1.77 | 5.0 | 38.74 | 2.02 | 5.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 55$ | 35.23 | 0.84 | 2.4 | 38.91 | 0.93 | 2.4 |

* Labs Eliminated - 25, 125, 2116, 3970

Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides included) (percent)

| 17 | 22.41 | 2.70 | 12.1 | 23.15 | 2.96 | 12.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No Labs Eliminated for This Test

Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides excluded) (percent)

| 56 | 20.57 | 1.53 | 7.5 | 21.41 | 1.66 | 7.7 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 51$ | 20.88 | 0.63 | 3.0 | 21.75 | 0.54 | 2.5 |

* Labs Eliminated - 25, 42, 2116, 3970, 3972

Ferric Oxide (percent)

| 58 | 5.75 | 0.68 | 11.8 | 5.30 | 0.59 | 11.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 55$ | 5.82 | 0.25 | 4.3 | 5.35 | 0.20 | 3.7 |

* Labs Eliminated - 15, 2116, 3972

Calcium Oxide (minor oxides included) (percent)

| 19 | 26.84 | 4.06 | 15.1 | 23.52 | 5.19 | 22.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 16$ | 25.73 | 0.54 | 2.1 | 22.01 | 0.71 | 3.2 |

* Labs Eliminated - 1251, 3970, 3972

Calcium Oxide (minor oxides excluded) (percent)

| 49 | 25.84 | 2.61 | 10.1 | 21.98 | 3.30 | 15.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| *46 | 25.37 | 0.55 | 2.2 | 21.43 | 0.63 | 2.9 |

* Labs Eliminated - 2116, 3970, 3972
Sample No. $55 \quad$ Sample No. 56

| Test (unit) \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Magnesium Oxide (percent)

| 62 | 4.77 | 0.35 | 7.4 | 4.19 | 0.31 | 7.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 58$ | 4.85 | 0.19 | 4.0 | 4.24 | 0.20 | 4.7 |

* Labs Eliminated - 50, 126, 2116, 3972

Sulfur Trioxide (percent)

| 66 | 1.48 | 0.16 | 10.7 | 1.82 | 0.19 | 10.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| *61 | 1.46 | 0.08 | 5.4 | 1.82 | 0.12 | 6.4 |

* Labs Eliminated - 1, 35, 176, 3970, 3972


## Loss on Ignition (percent)

| 76 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 67 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 29 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 73$ | 0.27 | 0.07 | 25 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 14 |

* Labs Eliminated - 736, 2253, 3972


## Sodium Oxide (percent)

| 59 | 1.55 | 0.21 | 13.3 | 1.50 | 0.21 | 13.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 55$ | 1.59 | 0.10 | 6.0 | 1.54 | 0.11 | 6.9 |

* Labs Eliminated - 169, 2116, 3970, 3972


## Potassium Oxide (percent)

| 59 | 0.49 | 0.25 | 51.5 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 16.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 54$ | 0.46 | 0.02 | 4.8 | 0.55 | 0.02 | 4.2 |

* Labs Eliminated - 25, 125, 1251, 3970, 3972

Available Sodium Oxide (percent)
$22 \quad 0.88$
0.33

37
0.73
0.32

44
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

Available Potassium Oxide (percent)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}22 & 0.25 & 0.09 & 34 & 0.24 & 0.10 & 41\end{array}$
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 55 and No. 56
Final Report - October 7, 2014
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

|  | Sample No.55 |  |  | Sample No. 56 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test (unit) | \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |

## Available Alkali (percent)

27
1.20
0.43

36
$1.08 \quad 0.47$
43
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

 Moisture ContentPOZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 5 Moisture Content 64 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.06 S.D. 0.04 C.V. 58
Sample No. 56 Ave 0.13 S.D. 0.06 C.V. 45
Labs Eliminated: 2, 958

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Silicon Dioxide <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 10 Silicon Dioxide 53 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 35.23 S.D. 0.84 C.V. 2.4
Sample No. 56 Ave 38.91 S.D. 0.93 C.V. 2.4
Labs Eliminated: 25, 125, 2116, 3970
Labs off Diagram: 3, 50

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides included)
POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 20 Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides included) 17 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 22.41 S.D. 2.70 C.V. 12.1
Sample No. 56 Ave 23.15 S.D. 2.96 C.V. 12.8

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides excluded) POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 21 Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides excluded) 51 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 20.88 S.D. 0.63 C.V. 3.0
Sample No. 56 Ave 21.75 S.D. 0.54 C.V. 2.5
Labs Eliminated: 25, 42, 2116, 3970, 3972

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Ferric Oxide
POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 30 Ferric Oxide 54 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 5.82 S.D. 0.25 C.V. 4.3
Sample No. 56 Ave 5.35 S.D. 0.20 C.V. 3.7
Labs Eliminated: 15, 2116, 3972
Labs off Diagram: 50

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Calcium Oxide (minor oxides included) POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 40 Calcium Oxide (minor oxides included) 16 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 25.73 S.D. 0.54 C.V. 2.1
Sample No. 56 Ave 22.01 S.D. 0.71 C.V. 3.2
Labs Eliminated: 1251, 3970, 3972

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program
Calcium Oxide (minor oxides excluded) POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 42 Calcium Oxide (minor oxides excluded) 46 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 25.37 S.D. 0.55 C.V. 2.2
Sample No. 56 Ave 21.43 S.D. 0.63 C.V. 2.9
Labs Eliminated: 2116, 3970, 3972

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Magnesium Oxide POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 50 Magnesium Oxide 57 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 4.85
S.D. 0.19
C.V. 4.0

Sample No. 56 Ave 4.24 S.D. 0.20
C.V. 4.7

Labs Eliminated: 50, 126, 2116, 3972
Labs off Diagram: 975

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Sulfur Trioxide POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 60 Sulfur Trioxide 61 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 1.46 S.D. 0.08 C.V. 5.4
Sample No. 56 Ave 1.82 S.D. 0.12 C.V. 6.4
Labs Eliminated: 1, 35, 176, 3970, 3972

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Loss on Ignition
POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 70 Loss on Ignition 73 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.27
S.D. 0.07
C.V. 25

Sample No. 56 Ave 0.58
S.D. 0.08
C.V. 14

Labs Eliminated: 736, 2253, 3972

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

 Sodium Oxide POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56

Test No. 90 Sodium Oxide 55 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 1.59 S.D. 0.10 C.V. 6.0
Sample No. 56 Ave 1.54 S.D. 0.11 C.V. 6.9
Labs Eliminated: 169, 2116, 3970, 3972

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Potassium Oxide <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 100 Potassium Oxide 53 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.46 S.D. 0.02 C.V. 4.8
Sample No. 56 Ave 0.55 S.D. 0.02 C.V. 4.2
Labs Eliminated: 25, 125, 1251, 3970, 3972
Labs off Diagram: 176

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Available Sodium Oxide POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 91 Available Sodium Oxide 22 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.88 S.D. 0.33 C.V. 37
Sample No. 56 Ave 0.73
S.D. 0.32
C.V. 44

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Available Potassium Oxide POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 93 Available Potassium Oxide 22 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.25
S.D. 0.09
C.V. 34

Sample No. 56 Ave 0.24
S.D. 0.10
C.V. 41

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Available Alkali
POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 95 Available Alkali 27 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 1.20 S.D. 0.43 C.V. 36
Sample No. 56 Ave 1.08 S.D. 0.47 C.V. 43

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 55 and No. 56
Final Report - October 7, 2014
SUMMARY OF RESULTS
Sample No. $55 \quad$ Sample No. 56

| Test (unit) \#Labs Average S.D. C.V. Average S.D. C.V. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Density ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ )

| 60 | 2.65 | 0.11 | 4.2 | 2.50 | 0.09 | 3.4 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 59$ | 2.67 | 0.05 | 1.7 | 2.51 | 0.05 | 2.0 |

* Labs Eliminated - 3972


## Fineness - $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Sieve Retained (percent)

| 77 | 11.52 | 2.32 | 20.1 | 16.41 | 2.60 | 15.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 69$ | 11.00 | 0.70 | 6.3 | 16.33 | 0.78 | 4.8 |

* Labs Eliminated - 3, 38, 40, 565, 840, 975, 1715, 1799

Drying Shrinkage (percent)

| 9 | 0.027 | 0.031 | 112 | 0.020 | 0.031 | 154 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No Labs Eliminated for This Test

## Autoclave Expansion (percent)

| 55 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 209 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 235 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 50$ | 0.04 | 0.02 | 43 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 60 |

* Labs Eliminated - 3, 840, 958, 1038, 3972


## Normal Consistency Water (percent)

| 57 | 22.7 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 22.6 | 1.9 | 8.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 53$ | 22.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 22.1 | 0.3 | 1.6 |

* Labs Eliminated - 24, 840, 958, 3972


## Air Entrainment (percent)

| 10 | 0.076 | 0.152 | 199 | 0.076 | 0.162 | 213 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No Labs Eliminated for This Test

## Strength Activity Index - 7 day (percent)

| 65 | 103 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 99 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 62$ | 105 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 100 | 4.6 | 4.6 |

* Labs Eliminated - 47, 1435, 3972


# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 55 and No. 56
Final Report - October 7, 2014
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

|  | Sample No. 55 |  |  | Sample No. 56 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test (unit) | \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |

Strength Activity Index - 28 day (percent)

| 37 | 109 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 104 | 7.0 | 6.7 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 34$ | 110 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 104 | 4.7 | 4.5 |

* Labs Eliminated - 26, 47, 70

SAI Water Requirement (percent)

| 63 | 92 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 93 | 8.2 | 8.8 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 61$ | 94 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 94 | 1.4 | 1.5 |

* Labs Eliminated - 1, 565

Alkali-Silica Reaction - Reduction of Expansion (percent)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}6 & -366 & 277 & 75 & -188 & 173 & 92\end{array}$
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

 Density POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56

Test No. 310 Density 58 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 2.67 S.D. 0.05 C.V. 1.7
Sample No. 56 Ave 2.51 S.D. 0.05 C.V. 2.0
Labs Eliminated: 3972
Labs off Diagram: 20

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Fineness - $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Sieve Retained POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 281 Fineness - $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Sieve Retained 68 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 11.00
S.D. 0.70
C.V. 6.3

Sample No. 56 Ave 16.33
S.D. 0.78
C.V. 4.8

Labs Eliminated: 3, 38, 40, 565, 840, 975, 1715, 1799
Labs off Diagram: 1323

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Drying Shrinkage
POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 340 Drying Shrinkage 9 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.027 S.D. 0.031 C.V. 112
Sample No. 56 Ave 0.020 S.D. 0.031 C.V. 154

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Autoclave Expansion <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 160 Autoclave Expansion 50 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.04 S.D. 0.02 C.V. 43
Sample No. 56 Ave 0.02 S.D. 0.01 C.V. 60
Labs Eliminated: 3, 840, 958, 1038, 3972

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Normal Consistency Water <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 110 Normal Consistency Water 53 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 22.3 S.D. 0.3 C.V. 1.5
Sample No. 56 Ave 22.1 S.D. 0.3 C.V. 1.6
Labs Eliminated: 24, 840, 958, 3972

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Air Entrainment <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Test No. 350 Air Entrainment 9 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 0.076 S.D. 0.152 C.V. 199
Sample No. 56 Ave 0.076 S.D. 0.162 C.V. 213
Labs off Diagram: 1038

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Strength Activity Index - 7 day <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56



Sample No. 55
Strength Activity Index - 7 day (percent)
Test No. 359 Strength Activity Index-7 day 61 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 105 S.D. 4.8 C.V. 4.6
Sample No. 56 Ave 100 S.D. 4.6 C.V. 4.6
Labs Eliminated: 47, 1435, 3972
Labs off Diagram: 26

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Strength Activity Index - 28 day
POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Sample No. 55
Strength Activity Index - 28 day (percent)
Test No. 360 Strength Activity Index - 28 day 34 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave 110 S.D. 6.4 C.V. 5.9
Sample No. 56 Ave 104 S.D. 4.7 C.V. 4.5
Labs Eliminated: 26, 47, 70


## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Alkali-Silica Reaction - Reduction of Expansion POZZOLAN Samples No. 55 and No. 56


Test No. 390 Alkali-Silica Reaction-Reduction of Expansion 6 Points
Sample No. 55 Ave -366 S.D. 277 C.V. 75
Sample No. 56 Ave -188 S.D. 173 C.V. 92


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Youden, W.J., "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program", Proceedings of the American Society for testing and Materials Volume 59, 1959.
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