# Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory Proficiency Sample Program 

Final Report Pozzolan Proficiency Samples Number 57 and Number 58
$\square$

October 13, 2015

To: Participants in the CCRL Pozzolan Proficiency Sample Program

## Subject: Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 57 and No. 58

Following is the final report for the pair of CCRL Pozzolan Proficiency Samples which were distributed in July 2015. Both samples were a Class F fly ash.

This report consists of two parts and each part must be downloaded from our website located at: http://www.ccrl.us/. One part contains general information that consists of a statistical Summary of Results, a set of Scatter Diagrams, and other associated information. The second part is laboratory specific information that consists of the Table of Results containing test results and ratings for your laboratory.

The CCRL Proficiency Sample Programs are intended for internal use by the laboratory as a tool to identify potential problems in laboratory procedures or test equipment and to initiate remedial actions. These programs are designed to complement the CCRL Laboratory Inspection Program as part of a total quality system. Care should be taken when using this program for any other purpose.

Additional samples of these two samples and other CCRL samples are available for purchase. These samples may be useful for equipment verification, technician training, and research. Contact CCRL for availability and price.

It is presently anticipated that the next Pozzolan Proficiency Samples will be distributed in July 2016.

Sincerely,


Robin K. Haupt
Supervisor, Proficiency Sample Programs
Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

## To: Participants in the CCRL Pozzolan Proficiency Sample Program

## From: Robin K. Haupt, Supervisor, PSP

## Subject: Explanation of Final Report on Results of Tests on Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 57 and No. 58

This memo and the material included with it constitute the final report and summary of results for the current pair of Pozzolan Proficiency Samples, which were distributed in July 2015. This material includes a Table of Results for individual laboratory data, a statistical Summary of Results, and a set of Scatter Diagrams. Your unique laboratory number is displayed at the top of the individual Table of Results.

An explanation of the program is contained in the paper: "Statistical Evaluation of Interlaboratory Cement Tests" by J. R. Crandall and R. L. Blaine View Document, and "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program" by W.J. Youden View Document, which can be found in Volume 59, Proceedings of the $62^{\text {nd }}$ Annual Meeting of the Society, June 25, 1959, American Society for Testing and Materials.

## Laboratory Ratings

Each laboratory receives an individualized Laboratory Ratings. Each line of the ratings shows the test title and the reporting unit in the first two columns. After that it lists in order, the laboratory's results for the odd and even numbered samples, overall averages for the odd and even numbered samples, and the laboratory's ratings for the odd and even samples.

The ratings for the individual laboratory were determined in the manner described by Crandall and Blaine using a rating scale of 1 to 5 instead of 0 to 4 . The ratings have no valid standing beyond showing the difference between the individual laboratory result and the average for a particular test. Laboratory Ratings are calculated using the unrounded values for average and standard deviation.

The following table details the relationship between the ratings and the averages.

| Ratings | Range (Number of <br> Standard Deviations) | Number (Per 100) <br> of Laboratories <br> achieving the rating ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 5 | Less than 1 | 69 |
| 4 | 1 to 1.5 | 18 |
| 3 | 1.5 to 2 | 9 |
| 2 | 2 to 2.5 | 3 |
| 1 | Greater than 2.5 | 1 |

The sign of the rating merely shows whether the result reported was greater or less than the average obtained. In cases where some laboratories' results are eliminated, averages, standard deviations, coefficients of variation, and the ratings of the other laboratories' results, are recalculated using the data remaining after the elimination. Since the laboratory ratings given are the results from this one series of tests, you need not attach too much significance to a single low rating, or pair of ratings, from this one series. A continuing tendency to get low ratings on several pairs of samples should lead a laboratory to consider the types of error, systematic and random, contribute to ratings that are low. Systematic error, which is indicated by low ratings with the same signs on each pair of samples, means a consistent error is occurring in equipment and/or test procedures. One indication of random error is low ratings on both

[^0]samples with different signs.. Since systematic error occurs with more regularity, its cause is generally easier to find than the cause of random error.

## Summary of Results

The Summary of Results provide the statistical summary for each test. Each line lists the test, the number of participants represented, the averages, standard deviations and coefficients of variations. When necessary the data from the test is represented in two lines, one line with all results reported, and then a second line with outlying results omitted. Sometimes two or more recalculations are required to eliminate all outliers from the test. In these cases, all of the laboratories omitted in previous recalculations are also omitted in subsequent ones. Results omitted are values that are more than three standard deviations from the mean of one or both samples. Elimination of these outlying results may little effect on the average, but may have a more pronounced effect on the standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

## Scatter Diagrams

General scatter diagrams are supplied with this report. Crandall and Blaine describe the manner of preparing scatter diagrams, and their interpretation, in the paper published in the 1959 ASTM Proceedings.

Using the results received from each laboratory, a scatter diagram is generated for each test method by plotting the value for the odd numbered samples on the $X$, or horizontal axis, against the value for the even numbered samples on the $Y$, or vertical axis. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines, which divide the diagrams into four sections or quadrants, place the average values for the odd and even numbered samples, respectively. The first line of print under the diagram includes the test number, as given on the data sheet, the test title, and the number of data points on the diagrams. The number of plotted points may not agree with the total number of data pairs included in the analysis because a few points may be off the diagram, and some points may represent several data pairs, which are identical. Laboratories whose points are off the diagram will have a rating of $\pm 1$ for that particular test.

As described in Crandall and Blaine, a tight circular pattern of points around the intersection of the median lines is the ideal situation. Stretching out of the pattern into the first (upper right) and third (lower left) quadrants, suggests some kind of bias, or tendency for laboratories to get high or low results on both samples. Examination of the scatter diagrams indicates strong evidence of bias on many tests.

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 57 and No. 58
Final Report - Chemical Analysis Results
October 13, 2015
SUMMARY OF RESULTS
Sample No. 57 Sample No. 58

| Test (unit) | \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Moisture Content (percent)

| 62 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 88 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 75 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 60$ | 0.05 | 0.03 | 67 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 64 |

* Labs Eliminated - 38, 3014


## Silicon Dioxide (percent)

| 59 | 52.58 | 1.14 | 2.2 | 57.62 | 1.76 | 3.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| *58 | 52.50 | 0.94 | 1.8 | 57.76 | 1.37 | 2.4 |

* Labs Eliminated - 125

Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides included) (percent)

| 17 | 16.80 | 0.92 | 5.5 | 26.32 | 1.79 | 6.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No Labs Eliminated for This Test

Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides excluded) (percent)

| 55 | 15.97 | 0.54 | 3.4 | 25.24 | 0.98 | 3.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 52$ | 15.87 | 0.36 | 2.2 | 25.10 | 0.71 | 2.8 |

* Labs Eliminated - 43, 50, 2522


## Ferric Oxide (percent)

| 58 | 6.03 | 0.34 | 5.7 | 4.32 | 0.47 | 10.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 53$ | 5.97 | 0.14 | 2.3 | 4.26 | 0.21 | 4.9 |

* Labs Eliminated - 42, 46, 50, 176, 2522

Calcium Oxide (minor oxides included) (percent)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}10 & 14.72 & 0.78 & 5.3 & 6.07 & 0.79 & 13.1\end{array}$
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

Calcium Oxide (minor oxides excluded) (percent)

| 54 | 14.34 | 0.60 | 4.2 | 5.25 | 0.60 | 11.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 49$ | 14.28 | 0.34 | 2.4 | 5.14 | 0.26 | 5.0 |

* Labs Eliminated - 4, 38, 43, 58, 176


# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 57 and No. 58
Final Report - Chemical Analysis Results
October 13, 2015
SUMMARY OF RESULTS
Sample No. $57 \quad$ Sample No. 58

| Test (unit) \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Magnesium Oxide (percent)

| 62 | 4.59 | 1.24 | 27.1 | 1.26 | 0.53 | 41.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 59$ | 4.50 | 0.25 | 5.6 | 1.19 | 0.14 | 11.6 |

* Labs Eliminated - 42, 50, 125


## Sulfur Trioxide (percent)

| 66 | 0.69 | 0.13 | 19.1 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 18.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| *60 | 0.68 | 0.06 | 8.6 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 13.2 |

* Labs Eliminated - 23, 35, 40, 46, 176, 2116

Loss on Ignition (percent)

| 74 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 64 | 0.56 | 0.16 | 29 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 67$ | 0.15 | 0.05 | 36 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 12 |

* Labs Eliminated - 20, 35, 50, 176, 958, 1251, 2116


## Sodium Oxide (percent)

| 59 | 1.93 | 0.17 | 8.7 | 2.33 | 0.28 | 12.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 55$ | 1.96 | 0.10 | 5.1 | 2.36 | 0.12 | 4.9 |

* Labs Eliminated - 44, 125, 169, 2116


## Potassium Oxide (percent)

| 58 | 2.26 | 0.09 | 4.0 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 4.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $* 55$ | 2.25 | 0.07 | 3.1 | 0.94 | 0.03 | 3.7 |

* Labs Eliminated - 23, 125, 2116

Available Sodium Oxide (percent)
$22 \quad 0.61$
0.19

31
0.88
0.30

34
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

Available Potassium Oxide (percent)

| 22 | 0.62 | 0.15 | 25 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 52 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 21$ | 0.61 | 0.15 | 25 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 29 |

* Labs Eliminated - 19


# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 57 and No. 58
Final Report - Chemical Analysis Results October 13, 2015

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

|  | Sample No.57 |  |  | Sample No. 58 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test (unit) | \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |

## Available Alkali (percent)

| 24 | 1.38 | 1.05 | 76 | 1.35 | 0.65 | 48 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 20$ | 1.04 | 0.26 | 25 | 1.10 | 0.32 | 29 |

* Labs Eliminated - 17, 169, 1435, 1726

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Moisture Content
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 5 Moisture Content 60 Points

| Sample No. 57 | Ave 0.05 | S.D. 0.03 | C.V. 67 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample No. 58 | Ave 0.08 | S.D. 0.05 | C.V. 64 |

Labs Eliminated: 38, 3014

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Silicon Dioxide <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 10 Silicon Dioxide 58 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 52.50 S.D. 0.94 C.V. 1.8
Sample No. 58 Ave 57.76 S.D. 1.37 C.V. 2.4
Labs Eliminated: 125

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides included) POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Sample No. 57
Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides included) (percent)
Test No. 20 Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides included) 17 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 16.80 S.D. 0.92 C.V. 5.5
Sample No. 58 Ave 26.32 S.D. 1.79 C.V. 6.8

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides excluded) POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 21 Aluminum Oxide (minor oxides excluded) 51 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 15.87 S.D. 0.36 C.V. 2.2
Sample No. 58 Ave 25.10 S.D. 0.71 C.V. 2.8
Labs Eliminated: 43, 50, 2522
Labs off Diagram: 8

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Ferric Oxide
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 30 Ferric Oxide 53 Points
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Sample No. } 57 & \text { Ave } 5.97 & \text { S.D. } 0.14 & \text { C.V. } & 2.3 \\ \text { Sample No. } 58 & \text { Ave } 4.26 & \text { S.D. } & 0.21 & \text { C.V. } & 4.9\end{array}$
Sample No. 58 Ave 4.26 S.D. 0.21 C.V. 4.9
Labs Eliminated: 42, 46, 50, 176, 2522

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Calcium Oxide (minor oxides included) POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Calcium Oxide (minor oxides included) (percent)
Test No. 40 Calcium Oxide (minor oxides included) 10 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 14.72 S.D. 0.78 C.V. 5.3
Sample No. 58 Ave 6.07 S.D. 0.79 C.V. 13.1

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Calcium Oxide (minor oxides excluded) POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 42 Calcium Oxide (minor oxides excluded) 49 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 14.28 S.D. 0.34 C.V. 2.4
Sample No. 58 Ave 5.14 S.D. 0.26 C.V. 5.0
Labs Eliminated: 4, 38, 43, 58, 176

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Magnesium Oxide <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 50 Magnesium Oxide 59 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 4.50
S.D. 0.25 C.V. 5.6

Sample No. 58 Ave 1.19 S.D. 0.14 C.V. 11.6
Labs Eliminated: 42, 50, 125

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Sulfur Trioxide <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 60 Sulfur Trioxide 59 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 0.68 S.D. 0.06 C.V. 8.6
Sample No. 58 Ave 0.40 S.D. 0.05 C.V. 13.2
Labs Eliminated: 23, 35, 40, 46, 176, 2116
Labs off Diagram: 1479

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Loss on Ignition <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 70 Loss on Ignition 67 Points
Sample No. 57
Ave 0.15
S.D. 0.05
C.V. 36

Sample No. 58 Ave 0.55
S.D. 0.06
C.V. 12

Labs Eliminated: 20, 35, 50, 176, 958, 1251, 2116

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

 Sodium OxidePOZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 90 Sodium Oxide 55 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 1.96 S.D. 0.10 C.V. 5.1
Sample No. 58 Ave 2.36 S.D. 0.12 C.V. 4.9
Labs Eliminated: 44, 125, 169, 2116

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Potassium Oxide
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 100 Potassium Oxide 55 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 2.25 S.D. 0.07 C.V. 3.1
Sample No. 58 Ave 0.94 S.D. 0.03 C.V. 3.7
Labs Eliminated: 23, 125, 2116

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Available Sodium Oxide POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 91 Available Sodium Oxide 22 Points
Sample No. 57
Ave 0.61
S.D. 0.19
C.V. 31
Ave 0.88
S.D. 0.30
C.V. 34

Sample No. 58

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Available Potassium Oxide <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 93 Available Potassium Oxide 21 Points
Sample No. 57
Ave 0.61
S.D. 0.15
C.V. 25

Sample No. 58 Ave 0.28
S.D. 0.08
C.V. 29

Labs Eliminated: 19

CCRL Proficiency Sample Program
Available Alkali
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 95 Available Alkali 20 Points
Sample No. 57
Ave 1.04
S.D. 0.26
C.V. 25

Sample No. 58 Ave 1.10
S.D. 0.32
C.V. 29

Labs Eliminated: 17, 169, 1435, 1726

# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 57 and No. 58
Final Report - Physical Results
October 13, 2015
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

|  | Sample No.57 |  |  |  |  | Sample No. 58 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test (unit) | \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Density ( $\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60 | 2.51 | 0.03 | 1.3 | 2.22 | 0.07 | 3.2 |
|  | $* 56$ | 2.51 | 0.03 | 1.1 | 2.20 | 0.04 | 1.9 |

* Labs Eliminated - 3, 26, 41, 823


## Fineness - $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Sieve Retained (percent)

| 72 | 20.88 | 3.47 | 16.6 | 22.90 | 3.75 | 16.4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $* 67$ | 20.90 | 1.07 | 5.1 | 23.05 | 1.19 | 5.2 |

* Labs Eliminated - 3, 40, 176, 975, 1715

Drying Shrinkage (percent)
12
$\begin{array}{lll}-0.003 & 0.009 & -360\end{array}$ -0.001
0.008
$-826$
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

## Autoclave Expansion (percent)

| 53 | -0.02 | 0.08 | -311 | -0.03 | 0.09 | -229 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 48$ | -0.02 | 0.02 | -138 | -0.03 | 0.02 | -108 |

* Labs Eliminated - 17, 20, 565, 823, 1715


## Normal Consistency Water (percent)

| 56 | 22.8 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 24.1 | 0.7 | 3.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 54$ | 22.7 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 24.0 | 0.6 | 2.5 |

* Labs Eliminated - 46, 1038


## Air Entrainment (percent)

| 11 | 0.013 | 0.005 | 41 | 0.021 | 0.010 | 45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No Labs Eliminated for This Test

## Strength Activity Index - 7 day (percent)

| 61 | 87 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 87 | 7.2 | 8.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* 60$ | 86 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 87 | 4.6 | 5.3 |

* Labs Eliminated - 823


# CCRL PROFICIENCY SAMPLE PROGRAM 

Pozzolan Proficiency Samples No. 57 and No. 58
Final Report - Physical Results
October 13, 2015
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

|  | Sample No.57 |  |  | Sample No. 58 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test (unit) | \#Labs | Average | S.D. | C.V. | Average | S.D. | C.V. |

## Strength Activity Index - 28 day (percent)

| 39 | 92 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 94 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No Labs Eliminated for This Test

SAI Water Requirement (percent)

| 60 | 91 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 95 | 11.0 | 11.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| *57 | 93 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 97 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| minated -1, 19, 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Alkali-Silica Reaction - Reduction of Expansion (percent)
$8 \quad 54 \quad 14$
$67 \quad 18$
27
No Labs Eliminated for This Test

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

 DensityPOZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 310 Density 55 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 2.51 S.D. 0.03 C.V. 1.1
Sample No. 58 Ave 2.20 S.D. 0.04 C.V. 1.9
Labs Eliminated: 3, 26, 41, 823
Labs off Diagram: 24

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Fineness - $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Sieve Retained
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 281 Fineness - $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Sieve Retained 67 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 20.90
S.D. 1.07
C.V. 5.1

Sample No. 58 Ave 23.05
S.D. 1.19
C.V. 5.2

Labs Eliminated: 3, 40, 176, 975, 1715

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

## Drying Shrinkage

POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 340 Drying Shrinkage 12 Points

Sample No. 57
Sample No. 58 Ave -0.003
Ave -0.003
S.D. 0.009
C.V. 360

Ave -0.001
S.D. 0.008
C.V. 826

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> Autoclave Expansion <br> POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 160 Autoclave Expansion 48 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave-0.02 S.D. 0.02 C.V. - 138
Sample No. 58 Ave-0.03 S.D. 0.02 C.V. -108
Labs Eliminated: 17, 20, 565, 823, 1715

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Normal Consistency Water POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 110 Normal Consistency Water 53 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 22.7 S.D. 0.6 C.V. 2.4
Sample No. 58 Ave 24.0 S.D. 0.6 C.V. 2.5
Labs Eliminated: 46, 1038
Labs off Diagram: 823

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Air Entrainment
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 350 Air Entrainment 11 Points
Sample No. 57
Sample No. 58
Ave 0.013 S.D. 0.005 C.V. 41
Ave 0.021
S.D. 0.010
C.V. 45

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Strength Activity Index - 7 day
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Strength Activity Index - 7 day (percent)
Test No. 359 Strength Activity Index-7 day 60 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 86 S.D. 3.8 C.V. 4.4
Sample No. 58 Ave 87 S.D. 4.6 C.V. 5.3
Labs Eliminated: 823

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program

Strength Activity Index - 28 day
POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58


Test No. 360 Strength Activity Index-28 day 39 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 92 S.D. 4.7 C.V. 5.1
Sample No. 58 Ave 94 S.D. 5.6 C.V. 6.0

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program <br> SAI Water Requirement POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 370 SAI Water Requirement 57 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 93 S.D. 1.6 C.V. 1.7
Sample No. 58 Ave 97 S.D. 1.7 C.V. 1.7
Labs Eliminated: 1, 19, 25

## CCRL Proficiency Sample Program Alkali-Silica Reaction - Reduction of Expansion POZZOLAN Samples No. 57 and No. 58



Test No. 390 Alkali-Silica Reaction - Reduction of Expansion 8 Points
Sample No. 57 Ave 54 S.D. 14 C.V. 27
Sample No. 58 Ave 67 S.D. 18 C.V. 27


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Youden, W.J., "Statistical Aspects of the Cement Testing Program", Proceedings of the American Society for testing and Materials Volume 59, 1959.
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